Published ahead of print on February 24, 2022, as doi:10.5045/br.2021.2021152

Copyright © 2022 Korean Society of Hematology

IDH1/2 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia

Ja Min Byun, Seung-Joo Yoo, Hyeong-Joon Kim, Jae-Sook Ahn, Youngil Koh, Jun Ho Jang, Sung-Soo Yoon

Citation : Byun JM, Yoo SJ, Kim HJ, et al. IDH1/2 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood Res 2022. [Epub ahead of print]

Publisher's disclaimer: This manuscript has completed peer review and has been accepted for publication. We are distributing the authors' version of manuscript prior to technical and English editing, typesetting and proof reading. A final version approved by the authors' will follow in a regular issue of BLOOD RESEARCH. All legal disclaimers that apply to BLOOD RESEARCH also pertain to this production process.

IDH1/2 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia

Ja Min Byun^{1,2}, Seung-Joo Yoo^{1,2}, Hyeong-Joon Kim³, Jae-Sook Ahn³, Youngil Koh^{1,2}, Jun Ho Jang⁴, Sung-Soo Yoon^{1,2}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, ²Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, ³Department of Hematology-Oncology, Chonnam National University Hwasun Hospital, Hwasun, ⁴Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Correspondence to 1: Youngil Koh, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Korea

E-mail: go01@snu.ac.kr

Correspondence to 2: Jun Ho Jang, M.D., Ph.D.

Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Korea

E-mail: smcjunhojang@gmail.com

Sources of support: The sequencing study involving Korean patients included in this article was supported by Celgene-BMS.

ABSTRACT

The mutational and epigenetic landscape of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) has become increasingly well understood in recent years, informing on biological targets for precision medicine. Among the most notable findings was the recognition of mutational hot-spots in the isocitrate dehydrogenase (*IDH*) genes. In this review, we provide an overview on the *IDH1/2* mutation landscape in Korean AML patients, and compare it with available public data. We also discuss the role of *IDH1/2* mutations as biomarkers and drug targets. Taken together, occurrence of *IDH1/2* mutations is becoming increasingly important in AML treatment, thus requiring thorough examination and follow-up throughout the clinical course of the disease.

Key words: Acute myeloid leukemia, IDH, Biomarker, Drug target, Korean

INTRODUCTION

The mutational and epigenetic landscapes of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) have become increasingly well understood in recent years, informing on biological targets for precision medicine [1-3]. Among the most notable findings was the recognition of mutational hot-spots in the isocitrate dehydrogenase (*IDH*) genes. The IDH family comprises of three isozymes (IDH1, IDH2 and IDH3). IDH1 and IDH2 are homo-dimeric enzymes encoded for by the *IDH1* and *IDH2* genes located on chromosomes 2q33 and 15q26, respectively. IDH2 is localized in the mitochondria, while IDH1 is in the cytosol and peroxisome [4]. The third isozyme, IDH3, is localized in the mitochondria and composed of three distinct subunits encoded for by three different genes (*IDH3A*, *IDH3B*, *IDH3G*). Normally, IDH1/2 enzymes catalyze the oxidative decarboxylation of isocitrate to α -ketoglutarate (α -KG) to produce reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) from NADP⁺, and also constitute an important component of the tricarboxylic acid cycle [5]. As many dioxygenases depend on adequate levels of α -KG for various cellular processes [6], alterations in IDH1/2 functions interfere with detoxification mechanisms, thus causing DNA damage and genome instability [7].

In this review article, we discuss *IDH1/2* mutations in Korean AML patients, and their potential application as biomarkers and drug targets.

***IDH* mutations in AML**

Overall, *IDH*-mutant leukemia represents approximately 20% of all AML cases. Clinically, patients with *IDH* mutations tend to be older with median age of onset at 67 years, and have higher platelet count, bone marrow and peripheral blast counts and more profound neutropenia at the time of diagnosis [8]. In addition, *IDH* mutations are mostly found in intermediate-risk groups, especially in the normal karyotype (NK) [9].

Biologically, *IDH1/2* mutations are predominantly somatic and rarely germline [10], and nearly always cause a single amino acid substitution in Arg132 in IDH1 or the corresponding Arg172 in

IDH2, and Arg140 in IDH2. These three residues are located in the enzyme's active sites, suggesting direct impact of the mutations on the catalytic properties of the enzymes [7]. Because *IDH1* and *IDH2* mutations affect the enzymatic active site, where isocitrate and NADPH bind, they result in aberrant IDH proteins with neomorphic enzymatic activity. This leads to a product shift of the catalytic reaction from α -KG reduced to the R-enantiomer of 2-hydroxyglutarate (R-2-HG) [11]. As R-2-HG is structurally similar to α -KG, it acts as a competitive inhibitor of α -KG [12] and inhibits α -KG-dependent dioxygenases that are involved in various cellular processes including histone demethylation [13], DNA modification, and adaptation to hypoxia [5]. In addition, *IDH* mutations can modify the bone marrow microenvironment via paracrine R-2-HG activity [14]. Secretion of R-2-HG by leukemic cells induces NF- κ B stabilization and transcriptional activation in stromal cells, which in turn induces IL-6, IL-8 and C5 secretion from stromal cells that stimulates AML cell proliferation.

Second, *IDH1/2* mutations occur in a mutually exclusive manner in most cases, and in a highly restricted tumor spectrum.

Finally, *IDH* mutations generally represent early genomic events in disease development and progression, thus they are present in the dominant clone and remain relatively stable throughout the disease course [15, 16]. As a matter of fact, mutations in *IDH* genes, especially in *IDH2*, are occasionally recognized as part of age-related clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential [17] and clonal cytopenia of undetermined significance (CCUS) [18]. Malcovati et al. reported that the presence of *IDH* mutations is a risk factor for developing myeloid malignancy when present in association with TET2, ASXL1 or DNMT3A as part of CCUS. Taken together, these biological features render *IDH1/2* mutations reliable and specific biomarkers.

***IDH* mutations in Korean AML patients**

To investigate the distribution and characteristics of *IDH1/2* mutations in Korean AML patients, 236 bone marrow samples were collected from three tertiary centers in Korea (Chonnam National

University Hwasun Hospital, Samsung Medical Center and Seoul National University Hospital). DNA extraction was performed using Qiagen kit.

We performed targeted resequencing with a customized design: TruSeq Custom Amplicon (Illumina, San Diego, CA) using the MiSeq sequencing platform (Illumina). TruSeq Custom Amplicon is a fully integrated end-to-end amplicon sequencing solution, including online probe design, assay, and sequencing. Online probe design was performed by entering probes into the Design Studio software (Illumina), arbitrarily selected from the medical literature, on the basis of the presence of described mutations with an established role in response to targeted therapies and/or in current treatment paradigms. Once the design was completed, TruSeq Custom Amplicon kit produced the required targeted amplicons with the necessary adapters and indices for sequencing on the MiSeq system without any additional processing. Library preparation and sequencing have been performed according to the manufacturer's procedures. Quantified libraries were sequenced using the 2×150 bp configuration (300 cycles) and ran on a V2 sequencing flow cell. After sequencing reads were produced, raw de-multiplexed reads from the MiSeq sequencer were aligned to the reference human genome (UCSC build hg19) using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) [19], running in paired-end mode. To ensure good call quality and to reduce the number of false positives, samples underwent Base Quality Score Recalibration (BQSR), using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) [20]. To detect putative somatic mutations and *FLT-ITD*, Mutect2 of GATK and ITDetect (version 1.4, self-detection tool in the laboratory) were used. Variant calls were annotated with biological information using AnnoVar and VEP [21, 22]. Mutations were annotated with the 1000 Genomes project, ClinVar, and Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC, version 92) [23-25]. Variant allelic fraction was determined between 25% and 50%, to exclude non-somatic cells and indels, which are found in lower variant allele frequency and considered as false positive due to sequencing. Additionally, variants with depth lower than 10 were excluded. To retrieve variants that matched targeted regions and genes, and were clinically important, variants that did not map to exons, were not listed in ClinVar, and were splicing or benign variants, were excluded. For detailed analysis and visualization of variant

information, ggplot2 and comat of R package were used [26-28].

We found that *IDH1/2* mutations were present in 98/236 (41.53%) of the patients (**Fig. 1A**). To compare our samples with other published AML samples, we obtained oncoplot (**Fig. 1B**) and mutation profiling data from 822 samples from cBioPortal (622 from OHSU and 200 from TCGA) [2, 29]. We noted that the generally accepted order of mutation frequency in AML was also observed in Korean AML patients, with *FLT3-ITD* being the most common mutation followed by *NPM1*.

Association with other mutations

The association between *IDH1/2* mutations and *NPM1* or *DNMT3A* mutations in AML is relatively well-known [2, 3]. More specifically, *IDH1* and *IDH2 R140* are usually found in combination with *NPM1* mutations, while *IDH2 R172* is mutually exclusive with *NPM1* mutations. This finding, in combination with the observation that more severe aberrations in metabolic activity and higher R-2-HG levels are observed in *IDH2 R172*, suggests distinct clonal ancestry and biological implications. In addition, *IDH1* and *IDH2* mutations co-occurred with *FLT3-ITD* in 15-27% and 8-30% of AML patients, respectively [30].

Co-occurrence or mutual exclusive interactions between genes were also examined in Korean patients (**Fig. 2A**). *IDH1* and *IDH2* mutations were found to be mutually exclusive. Overall, *IDH1* mutations showed strong association with *DNMT3A* mutations, and *IDH2* mutations with *KRAS* mutations. These findings are in line with publicly available data (**Fig. 2B**), which showed close relationship between mutations in *IDH1* and *DNMT3A* [odds ratio (OR)=6.5490406, $P=0.02531$], and in *IDH1* and *NPM1* (OR=2.397071, $P=0.2547$) [31]. Interestingly, *IDH2* mutations tended to occur together with *FLT3* and *NPM1* mutations. Additionally, *IDH2* mutations were significantly associated with *KRAS* mutations (OR=27.90556, $P=0.01408$), which was not observed in public data. Whether this is a true finding or a skewed finding resulting from the limited number of patients requires further investigation.

In Korean patients with *IDH1/2* mutations (**Fig. 3A**), co-occurrence with *FLT3-ITD* mutations was identified at a rate of 27% with *IDH1* (*FLT3-ITD/IDH1*: 3/11), and 6.6% with *IDH2* (*FLT3-ITD/IDH2*: 1/15). Specific mutational hotspots were also examined. *IDH1 R132* and *IDH2 R140* occurred together with *NPM1* mutations, while *IDH2 R172* showed a mutual exclusive relationship with *NPM1* mutations (OR=23.69956, $P=0.009588$) (**Fig. 3B**). Similarly, and as expected, in publicly available data (**Fig. 3C, D**) the co-occurrence between *NPM1* mutations and *IDH1 R132* (OR=2.585159, $P=0.0003675$), and that between *NPM1* mutations and *IDH2 R140* (OR=1.738254, $P=0.044$) were well-noted. Moreover, mutual exclusiveness between *IDH2 R172* and *NPM1* mutations (OR=0, $P=0.03025$) was also observed. On the other hand, in the Korean AML cohort, missense mutations in *FLT3-ITD* were identified to co-occur with *IDH2 R172* (OR=23.69956, $P=0.009588$). However, in the public data, *IDH2 R172* showed mutual exclusiveness with *FLT3-ITD* mutations (OR=0.16318, $P=0.05037$). This latter finding requires further investigation.

Prognosis

The prognostic impact of *IDH1/2* mutations in AML remains controversial. In their recent meta-analysis of 12,747 patients from 33 studies, Xu *et al.* [32] showed that *IDH1* mutations were associated with worse survival and lower likelihood of complete remission (CR), especially in patients with NK. On the other hand, both *IDH2 R140* and *R172* mutations were associated with better survival outcomes, especially for intermediate-risk groups. However, interpretation of the results requires scrutiny, as there were differences between patient cohorts, variations between study methodologies, and grouping of mutations for prognostic analysis ranged widely. Of note, Molenaar *et al.* [15] showed that ancestral *IDH1* mutations were associated with worse prognosis compared with subclonal *IDH1* mutations. Such clonal hierarchy had no survival impact for patients with *IDH2* mutations.

Mutational context may influence prognosis, but this too remains contentious. For example, Paschka

et al. [33] reported that *NPM+/-FLT3-ITD-/IDHm* patients conferred poorer overall survival (OS) but Patel *et al.* [34] reported favorable outcomes in patients with similar characteristics.

Treatment

Ivosidenib (IDH1 inhibitor)

Ivosidenib (Tibsovo) is an orally administered *IDH1* inhibitor that reduces the total serum R-2-HG level and induces cell differentiation. The recommended dose is 500 mg orally once daily, until disease progression or occurrence of unacceptable toxicity.

The approval of ivosidenib by the US-FDA in 2018 was based on results from ivosidenib monotherapy in adult relapsed/refractory (R/R) AML patients with *IDH1* mutations (NCT02074839). The overall response rate (ORR) was 41.6% with a median response time of 6.5 months, and the CR rate was 21.6% with a median duration time of 9.3 months. Adverse events occurred in 99% of the patients, most common being diarrhea (31%), leukocytosis (30%), and febrile neutropenia (29%). There were no deaths related to adverse events, although grade ≥ 3 adverse events occurred in 21% of the patients. The most common treatment-related grade ≥ 3 adverse events were prolonged QT interval, differentiation syndrome and leukocytosis, which were also the most common reasons for drug withdrawal. Differentiation syndrome occurred in approximately 11% of the patients with a median onset time of 29 days [35, 36].

Enasidenib (IDH2 inhibitor)

Enasidenib (Idhifa) is an oral specific allosteric inhibitor of *IDH2* that shows more robust inhibitory effects on *IDH2 R172* than on *IDH1 R140* mutations, although it has inhibitory effects on both mutations [37]. Enasidenib has been shown to reduce total serum R-2-HG level by more than 90%, to reverse abnormal epigenetic changes, and to induce differentiation of mutant *IDH2* AML cells both *in*

vitro and in murine xenograft models. The recommended oral dose is 100 mg once daily for at least 6 months or until disease progression or intolerable adverse reactions.

The approval of ivosidenib by the US-FDA in 2017 was based on the results of phase 1/2 trial (NCT01915498) in adult R/R AML patients. ORR was 40.3% (CR, 19.3%) with a median OS of 9.3 months. Treatment-related adverse events included mainly elevated bilirubin (81%), nausea (50%), and diarrhea (43%). Differentiation syndrome of any grade occurred in 14% of the patients (grade ≥ 3 occurred in 7% of patients), and all were manageable with glucocorticoids and diuretics [38, 39].

Resistance

Mutations in NRAS and MAPK pathways have been proposed as causes of primary resistance. Due to increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels, genes associated with MAPK signaling are highly enriched in IDH1 mutant cells and transcription of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitors are repressed, leading to enhanced cell proliferation [40]. Moreover, due to inhibition of specific α -KG-dependent dioxygenases by R-2HG, *IDH* mutations can induce a “BRCAness” phenotype characterized by a homologous recombination defect leading to increased double-strand DNA damage that renders tumor cells sensitive to PARP inhibition [41].

The mechanisms of acquired resistance remain uncertain, but the second site mutation of the allele and the mutual conversion of *IDH* mutant subtypes are the two main mechanisms.

CONCLUSION

IDH1/2 mutations are becoming increasingly important for AML treatment, thus they should be examined and followed-up throughout the clinical course of the disease. Future clinical trials should focus on determining the best patient settings and optimizing the sequential application of effective agents to further improve clinical outcomes using tailored treatment in AML.

Authors' Disclosure of Potential Conflict of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

REFERENCES

1. Mardis ER, Ding L, Dooling DJ, et al. Recurring mutations found by sequencing an acute myeloid leukemia genome. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:1058-66.
2. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, Ley TJ, Miller C, et al. Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:2059-74.
3. Papaemmanuil E, Gerstung M, Bullinger L, et al. Genomic classification and prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2016;374:2209-21.
4. Dang L, Yen K, Attar EC. IDH mutations in cancer and progress toward development of targeted therapeutics. *Ann Oncol* 2016;27:599-608.
5. Clark O, Yen K, Mellinghoff IK. Molecular pathways: isocitrate dehydrogenase mutations in cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2016;22:1837-42.
6. Molenaar RJ, Radivoyevitch T, Maciejewski JP, van Noorden CJ, Bleeker FE. The driver and passenger effects of isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 mutations in oncogenesis and survival prolongation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2014;1846:326-41.
7. Yang H, Ye D, Guan KL, Xiong Y. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in tumorigenesis: mechanistic insights and clinical perspectives. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012;18:5562-71.
8. Montalban-Bravo G, DiNardo CD. The role of IDH mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. *Future Oncol* 2018;14:979-93.
9. Aref S, Kamel Areida el S, Abdel Aal MF, et al. Prevalence and clinical effect of IDH1 and IDH2 mutations among cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia patients. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* 2015;15:550-5.
10. Kranendijk M, Struys EA, van Schaftingen E, et al. IDH2 mutations in patients with D-2-hydroxyglutaric aciduria. *Science* 2010;330:336.
11. Dang L, White DW, Gross S, et al. Cancer-associated IDH1 mutations produce 2-hydroxyglutarate. *Nature* 2010;465:966.

12. Ye D, Ma S, Xiong Y, Guan KL. R-2-hydroxyglutarate as the key effector of IDH mutations promoting oncogenesis. *Cancer Cell* 2013;23:274-6.
13. Lu C, Ward PS, Kapoor GS, et al. IDH mutation impairs histone demethylation and results in a block to cell differentiation. *Nature* 2012;483:474-8.
14. Chaturvedi A, Araujo Cruz MM, Jyotsana N, et al. Enantiomer-specific and paracrine leukemogenicity of mutant IDH metabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate. *Leukemia* 2016;30:1708-15.
15. Molenaar RJ, Thota S, Nagata Y, et al. Clinical and biological implications of ancestral and non-ancestral IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in myeloid neoplasms. *Leukemia* 2015;29:2134-42.
16. Shlush LI, Zandi S, Mitchell A, et al. Identification of pre-leukaemic haematopoietic stem cells in acute leukaemia. *Nature* 2014;506:328-33.
17. Xie M, Lu C, Wang J, et al. Age-related mutations associated with clonal hematopoietic expansion and malignancies. *Nat Med* 2014;20:1472-8.
18. Malcovati L, Galli A, Travaglino E, et al. Clinical significance of somatic mutation in unexplained blood cytopenia. *Blood* 2017;129:3371-8.
19. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 2010;26:589-95.
20. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* 2010;20:1297-303.
21. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010;38:e164.
22. Howe KL, Achuthan P, Allen J, et al. Ensembl 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2021;49:D884-D91.
23. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 2015;526:68-74.
24. Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Benson M, et al. ClinVar: improving access to variant interpretations and supporting evidence. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2018;46:D1062-D7.
25. Tate JG, Bamford S, Jubb HC, et al. COSMIC: the catalogue of somatic mutations in cancer.

Nucleic Acids Res 2019;47:D941-D7.

26. R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2013. (Accessed November 11, 2021, at <https://www.R-project.org/>).
27. Wickham H. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. 2nd ed. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2016.
28. Nowosad J, Stepinski TF. Pattern-based identification and mapping of landscape types using multi-thematic data. *Int J Geogr Inf Sci* 2021;35:1634-49.
29. Tyner JW, Tognon CE, Bottomly D, et al. Functional genomic landscape of acute myeloid leukaemia. *Nature* 2018;562:526-31.
30. Boddu P, Takahashi K, Pemmaraju N, et al. Influence of IDH on FLT3-ITD status in newly diagnosed AML. *Leukemia* 2017;31:2526-9.
31. Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov* 2012;2:401-4.
32. Xu Q, Li Y, Lv N, et al. Correlation between isocitrate dehydrogenase gene aberrations and prognosis of patients with acute myeloid leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Cancer Res* 2017;23:4511-22.
33. Paschka P, Schlenk RF, Gaidzik VI, et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are frequent genetic alterations in acute myeloid leukemia and confer adverse prognosis in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia with NPM1 mutation without FLT3 internal tandem duplication. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:3636-43.
34. Patel JP, Gönen M, Figueroa ME, et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:1079-89.
35. DiNardo CD. Ivosidenib in IDH1-mutated acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2018;379:1186.
36. DiNardo CD, Stein EM, de Botton S, et al. Durable remissions with ivosidenib in IDH1-

mutated relapsed or refractory AML. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378:2386-98.

37. Yen K, Travins J, Wang F, et al. AG-221, a first-in-class therapy targeting acute myeloid leukemia harboring oncogenic IDH2 mutations. *Cancer Discov* 2017;7:478-93.

38. Stein EM, Fathi AT, DiNardo CD, et al. Enasidenib in patients with mutant IDH2 myelodysplastic syndromes: a phase 1 subgroup analysis of the multicentre, AG221-C-001 trial. *Lancet Haematol* 2020;7:e309-e19.

39. Stein EM, DiNardo CD, Pollyea DA, et al. Enasidenib in mutant IDH2 relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2017;130:722-31.

40. Chaturvedi A, Araujo Cruz MM, Jyotsana N, et al. Mutant IDH1 promotes leukemogenesis in vivo and can be specifically targeted in human AML. *Blood* 2013;122:2877-87.

41. Sulkowski PL, Corso CD, Robinson ND, et al. 2-Hydroxyglutarate produced by neomorphic IDH mutations suppresses homologous recombination and induces PARP inhibitor sensitivity. *Sci Transl Med* 2017;9:eaal2463.

Figures

A

B

Fig. 1. (A) Oncoprint with mutation-profiled Korean acute myeloid leukemia samples. Blue: missense mutation, pink: frameshift insertion, sky blue: frameshift deletion, and green: multi-hit. Mutations listed in the COSMIC database are marked with grey dots. **(B)** Oncoprint obtained from cBioPortal. Clinical and genomic data (622 from OHSU and 200 from TCGA) were merged.

A

B

Fig. 2. (A) Co-occurrence plot displaying interactions between genes in Korean patients. Red indicates higher degree of co-occurrence between two genes, while blue indicates higher degree of mutual exclusiveness. P -values from each Fisher's exact test are grouped into five groups, and the groups with $P > 0.05$ are not displayed. The remaining four groups with $P < 0.05$ are displayed on a scale for each section. In the case that the absolute value of log2 odds ratio was at least 1 and the P -value is marked, the box's margin is marked black. When

mutations of two genes did not occur in one sample, the odds ratio could not be calculated, therefore, many mutual exclusive interactions identified in **Fig. 1-A** are not shown in this plot. **(B)** Co-occurrence plot using publicly available data matching with **Fig. 1-B**. The number of patients is larger than the Korean cohort. Accordingly, a higher number of mutually exclusive signals were detected in the public database than in the Korean cohort.

A

B

C

D

Fig. 3. (A) Oncoplot showing Korean patients with *FLT3*, *NPM1*, *DNMT3A*, *IDH1* and *IDH2* mutations. Hotspots are shown for *IDH1* and *IDH2*. **(B)** Interactions between five genes from Korean AML patients shown in the same way as previously displayed. **(C)** Oncoplots using public data from cBioPortal regarding five genes. **(D)** Interactions between five genes from samples from cBioPortal shown in the same way as previously displayed. In cases of white color boxes and *P* value marking, odds ratio is zero because there are no events of mutations in both genes.