Ultrasound imaging for age-related differences of lower extremity muscle architecture
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Objective: To investigate and compare the size of the rectus femoris (RF), tibialis anterior (TA), and medial gastrocnemius (GMM) using ultrasound (US) imaging in young, elderly, and very elderly groups.

Design: Cross sectional study.

Methods: This study consisted of 25 young (age 20 years), 24 elderly (age 65-74 years), and 25 very elderly (age 75-90 years) people with no physical dysfunctions. The cross sectional area (CSAs) of the RF and muscle thickness of the TA and GMM were measured at rest and during contraction using an US system.

Results: The CSA of the RF and thickness of the TA and GMM were significantly smaller in the elderly and very elderly groups than in the young group (p<0.05). There was a significant difference of the CSA of the RF at rest and during contraction between elderly and very elderly group (p<0.05). In the comparison of the TA and GMM thickness between elderly and very elderly groups, there were no significant differences except for the TA thickness during contraction. There was a significant difference in the percentage change in RF CSA among the three groups (p<0.05).

Conclusions: Our results revealed loss of muscle mass in the RF, TA, and GMM in elderly and very elderly people (≥65 years old). In particular, the greatest age-related decline in muscle mass was observed for the RF. Furthermore, the CSA of the RF declined with aging in the very elderly groups (≥75 years old).
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Introduction

In a rapidly aging society, the concomitant increase in age-related diseases represents a significant personal and financial burden. Moreover, with the development of medical technology, the lifespan of humans has prolonged, and the very elderly population has grown in recent decades.

Age-related loss of skeletal muscle mass among the elderly and very elderly results in an increased risk of several diseases and mortality as well as reduced physical functioning and the eventual loss of functional independence [1,2]. In particular, the strength of the lower extremity muscles is associated with mobility in daily living [3] and cognitive function [4] in the elderly population. Furthermore, lower extremity muscle weakness is one of the most important risk factors for falls [5]. As a result, these problems may lead to decreased quality of life in the elderly population [6]. Distinctively, the extensor effect in the knee and the flexor effect of the rectus femoris (RF) in the hip play an important role in mobilization [7-9]. In addition, tibialis anterior (TA) and gastrocnemius weakness may result in gait-related imbalances, falls, and an increased hip fracture risk [10]. To prevent falls and predict the physical function and mobility of elderly and very elderly subjects, an accurate measurement of the lower extremity muscles is needed.

Age-related loss of skeletal muscle mass has been studied extensively by evaluating muscle volume or cross-sectional area (CSA) [11]. Reductions in muscle fiber number and
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size can indicate decreases in muscle CSA and thickness [12]. Thus, these variables have been used to quantify the force production capabilities of a muscle and assess age-related declines of skeletal muscle mass. To measure leg skeletal muscle architecture, ultrasound (US) imaging has been employed frequently because it is a relatively simple and accurate method. In addition, US imaging is a non-invasive, accessible, safe, and low-cost tool for muscle size measurements [13]. Accordingly, several studies have utilized US imaging to measure muscle thickness in elderly populations [14-16], but there have been few studies including very elderly individuals.

This study sought to investigate and compare the size of the RF, TA, and medial gastrocnemius (GMM) via US imaging among young, elderly, and very elderly populations.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

A total of 74 participants were recruited for this cross-sectional study, including 25 young (age, 20 years), 24 elderly (age, 65-74 years), and 25 very elderly subjects (age, 75-90 years). The young participants were health university student volunteers who were physically normal. The elderly and very elderly participants were able to ambulate independently or with an assistive device, they lived in the same district, they did not have an unstable physical condition, and they possessed sufficient cognition to follow simple instructions and understand the content and purpose of the study. Participants with physical dysfunctions that could influence outcomes, such as neurological impairment, severe musculoskeletal impairment, or severe cognitive impairment, were excluded. The participants were informed about the study procedure and purpose before testing, and written consent was obtained before participating. The study’s protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the Sahmyook University in Seoul.

**Anthropometric measurements**

Weight and standing height were measured using an electronic height and weight scale. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as body mass (kg)/height² (m²). The following anthropometric measures were obtained from the dominant side of all participants: thigh length, tibia length, and thigh circumference. In the supine position, the length of the thigh was measured as the distance between the greater trochanter of the femur and the articular cleft between the femur and tibia condyles [17]. The length of the tibia was measured as the distance from the inner border of the medial condyle to the farthest point of the medial malleolus [18]. The measurement was performed with participants sitting with their knees in a semi-flexed position. The circumference of the thigh was measured at 50% of the thigh length above the superior border of the patella [17].

**Measurements of muscle architecture**

The CSA of the RF and the thickness of the TA and GMM were measured using a US system (MYSONO U5; Samsung

---

**Figure 1.** Representative ultrasound images. (A) The outline of cross sectional area (CSA) of rectus femoris was measured by manual tracking, (B) the thickness of tibialis anterior was defined as the distance between the superficial and deep aponeuroses, (C) medial gastrocnemius thickness was defined as the distance between the superficial and deep aponeuroses.
Medicine, Seoul, Korea) with a 7.5-MHz linear transducer. The US measurements were performed by one examiner with 5 years of experience in musculoskeletal US. Each image was taken three times by US at rest and during muscle contraction and the average values were calculated. The images were measured blindly by a single investigator. The representative US images are shown in Figure 1. The test-retest repeatability of muscle thickness measurements of the lower limbs was established in a previous study [19]. The intra-class correlation coefficients for the test-retest reliability of the muscle thickness measurements were 0.72-0.97 for the CSA of the RF and of 0.88 for validity of the US measurement for the CSA of the RF compared with CT [20,21].

**Rectus femoris**

The RF of the dominant leg was measured at rest and during contraction. Participants assumed a chair-sitting position on a plinth with their legs relaxed, and they stayed at rest in this position for approximately 10 min. A mark was made on the anterior thigh at 50% of the thigh length, as suggested by de Bruin et al. [22] and Blazevich et al. [23]. For image acquisition of the anatomical CSA of the RF, the US probe was transversally positioned on the skin with minimal compression. Three images were taken in the resting position. For the contracted position, a 5-kg sand bag was applied on one ankle of each participant, and they were then asked to lift the weighted leg while the image was frozen.

**Tibialis anterior**

Participants assumed a long sitting position on a plinth with the hip in 90° flexion, the knee extended, and the ankle resting at 0° with the foot against the wall. The participants wore shorts to leave the lower limbs exposed. Images were taken at a point that was 30% of the distance from the head of the fibula to the tip of the lateral malleolus. This point was then marked on each participant’s leg [24]. Three images were taken at rest. For contraction via ankle dorsiflexion, participants were asked to flex their ankles to 0° against the examiner’s hand.

**Medial gastrocnemius**

To assess the thickness of the GMM, each subject laid prone on a table with his or her feet hanging off the edge of the table and his or her knees fully extended, and three images of the GMM were obtained with the ankle joint in a resting neutral position (90°). For the contracted position, the participant’s foot was pushed against the wall maximally, and then images were taken [25].

**Data analysis**

The dependent measures were the percentage change value of RF CSA and the muscle thickness of TA and GMM. The values of RF CSA and thickness of TA and GMM during contraction were normalized based on the resting values. The percentage was calculated to assess relative changes of muscle thickness of these 3 muscles.

\[
\% \text{ change} = \frac{\text{contracting} - \text{resting}}{\text{resting}} \times 100
\]

PASW Statistics ver. 18.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for all analyses. Descriptive statistics was used to describe the patient characteristics. Comparisons of the three groups’ general characteristics, namely the percentage change value of RF CSA and thickness of the TA and GMM, were made using one-way ANOVA to test differences among the groups. Scheffe post hoc test was used to assess the significance of differences among the three groups. Significance was recognized at \( p<0.05 \).

**Results**

Table 1 presents the mean and standard deviation values of the general characteristics and anthropometric measures for the three groups. No differences in gender, weight, and BMI were observed among the groups. However, there were significant differences in the length of the thigh, the length

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Young (n=25)</th>
<th>Elderly (n=24)</th>
<th>Very elderly (n=25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>10/15</td>
<td>8/16</td>
<td>10/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>20.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>71.3 (3.1)(^a)</td>
<td>81.9 (5.0)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>165.2 (8.0)</td>
<td>152.4 (8.2)(^a)</td>
<td>153.2 (10.7)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>63.4 (14.0)</td>
<td>58.2 (7.3)</td>
<td>56.4 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>23.0 (3.6)</td>
<td>25.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>23.9 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the thigh (cm)</td>
<td>43.2 (2.4)</td>
<td>35.8 (3.7)(^a)</td>
<td>36.8 (3.5)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the tibia (cm)</td>
<td>40.3 (2.4)</td>
<td>36.2 (3.0)(^a)</td>
<td>35.5 (2.8)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumference of the thigh (cm)</td>
<td>47.0 (4.7)</td>
<td>35.7 (2.3)(^a)</td>
<td>36.3 (3.8)(^a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as n (%) or mean (SD).

\(^a\)Significantly different compared to the young group (\( p<0.05 \)).

\(^b\)Significantly different compared to the elderly group (\( p<0.05 \)).
Table 2. Comparison of architecture variables for the RF, TA, and GMM at rest and during contraction among the three groups (N=74)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Muscle condition</th>
<th>Young (n=25)</th>
<th>Elderly (n=24)</th>
<th>Very elderly (n=25)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RF CSA (cm²)</td>
<td>Resting</td>
<td>5.29 (0.77)</td>
<td>3.51 (0.42)</td>
<td>3.04 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contracting</td>
<td>4.05 (0.52)</td>
<td>2.41 (0.33)</td>
<td>1.94 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td></td>
<td>-30.95 (6.61)</td>
<td>-36.49 (8.67)</td>
<td>-22.92 (6.74)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA thickness (cm)</td>
<td>Resting</td>
<td>2.23 (0.18)</td>
<td>2.02 (0.32)</td>
<td>1.84 (0.26)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contracting</td>
<td>3.24 (0.14)</td>
<td>2.97 (0.34)</td>
<td>2.71 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.70 (25.01)</td>
<td>48.97 (18.91)</td>
<td>45.97 (10.98)</td>
<td>0.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMM thickness (cm)</td>
<td>Resting</td>
<td>2.49 (0.20)</td>
<td>2.14 (0.19)</td>
<td>2.02 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contracting</td>
<td>3.37 (0.34)</td>
<td>3.12 (0.33)</td>
<td>2.92 (0.30)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.39 (19.38)</td>
<td>45.05 (19.79)</td>
<td>35.45 (13.04)</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean (SD).
*Significantly different compared to the young group. †Significantly different compared to the elderly group.

of the tibia, and the circumference of the thigh among the young group, the elderly group and very elderly group. Meanwhile, no differences were noted in gender, height, weight, BMI, the length of the thigh, the length of the tibia, and the circumference of the thigh between elderly and very elderly groups.

There were significant differences among the three groups concerning the CSA of the RF. In the comparison of thickness of the TA and GMM, there were significant differences in elderly and very elderly groups than in young group. However, in the comparison of the TA and GMM thickness between elderly and very elderly groups, no significant differences were observed except the TA thickness during contraction. There was a significant difference in the percentage change in CSA of the RF among three groups. However, no significant difference was found in US measurement of TA and GMM thickness among three groups (Table 2).

Discussion

It is important to investigate age-related changes in muscle architecture, especially in elderly and very elderly individuals to facilitate the development of geriatric rehabilitation programs. Many previous studies revealed that muscle mass, especially that of the antagonistic muscles of the lower extremities, decrease rapidly with aging [26,27]. Janssen et al. [28] demonstrated that age-related skeletal muscle loss is greater in the lower limbs than in the upper extremities and that it is primarily associated with decreased use of the lower extremities in activities such as walking. Therefore, we investigated the lower extremity muscles of the elderly and very elderly populations.

In our results, the CSA of the RF and thickness of the TA and GMM were significantly smaller in the elderly and very elderly groups than in the young group. Strasser et al. [29] demonstrated that the thicknesses of all muscles of the musculus quadriceps were significantly lesser in older subjects than in younger individuals. Ikezoe et al. [15] also described that the thickness of the quadriceps femoris was approximately 30%-40% lower in elderly women than in younger women. These findings were similar to our findings of reduced RF thickness in individuals 65-74 years old compared to that in the young group (33.7% at rest and 40.5% during contraction). Additionally, compared to the findings in the young group, we found that the thickness of the TA was 9.5% lower at rest and 8.4% lower during contraction, whereas that of the GMM was 14.1% lower at rest and 7.5% lower during contraction. In a previous study, similar to our result, the thickness of the GMM was also significantly lower in older subjects [30].

In the comparison between the elderly (65-74 years old) and very elderly groups (≥ 75 years old), the CSA of the RF (86.6% at rest and 80.4% during contraction versus the elderly group) and thickness of the TA (91.2% during contraction versus the elderly group) were significantly smaller in the very elderly group. With no significant differences in general characteristics and anthropometric measures noted between the elderly and very elderly groups excluding age, we observed declines in RF CSA at rest and during contraction and muscle thickness of TA during contraction in the very elderly population. Similar to our results, Janssen et
al. [28] described significant muscle loss of the lower extremities in subjects aged 70 years and older. Another interesting result, in this study, was that the percentage change values of RF CSA were significantly different in the three groups. The percentage change values of the thickness of TA and GMM, however, were no significant different in the three groups. In the way that the percentage change value indicates muscle behaviour and muscle performance, these findings of this study provide us with the additional information regarding these muscles [31]. It may be more clinically useful to percentage value than muscle thickness only.

Probably, this result is indicative of decreases in the type II fibers of the RF, which contains large quantities of these fibers, with progressive aging in individuals 65 years old and older. Reductions in the number and size of muscle fibers lead to a decline in overall muscle CSA [32]. Especially, RF CSA may be declining as getting older in elderly people. In the previous research of Abe et al. [14], the age-related loss of skeletal muscle mass was site-specific. Similarly to our results, they demonstrated that both triceps surae and TA muscle loss was only weakly correlated with age, whereas only the quadriceps muscle size was strongly correlated with age. Some study showed that age-related decline in quadriceps muscle strength might be due to a reduced neural drive and changes in skeletal muscle properties [33].

Many previous studies suggested that exercise can be a non-pharmacological approach against loss of muscle mass in elderly population. In particular, resistance training results in improved skeletal muscle size, composition, and performance in aging adults, as well as improvements in physical function [34-37]. Unfortunately, there have been few studies regarding the effects of exercise on skeletal muscle size and performance in elderly and very elderly subjects. Further studies investigating changes in muscle architecture that can be determined by rehabilitative US imaging as well as the effects of exercise-based interventions are needed.

This study has some limitations. First, we could not analyze our data based on gender because of a small number of subjects. Second, we have only enrolled the healthy subjects but we did not investigate how functionally healthy the subject in elderly or very elderly group were.

Our results revealed loss of muscle mass in the RF, TA, and GMM in elderly and very elderly people (≥65 years old). In particular, the greatest age-related decline in muscle mass was observed for the RF. Furthermore, the CSA of the RF declined with aging in the very elderly groups (≥75 years old).
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