Clinical Characteristics of Elderly Acute Ischemic Stroke Patients Calling Emergency Medical Services
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Background: Time is the most important determining factor in acute ischemic stroke (AIS) treatment. Calling emergency medical services (EMS) during an AIS can reduce the time until treatment. Although differences in clinical characteristics, including sex, have been studied in patients with acute coronary syndrome, limited data are available on the differences among patients with AIS who call EMS, especially elderly patients. Methods: Patients aged 65 years or older who had received a diagnosis of AIS within 1 week of hospitalization were recruited and analyzed within 24 hours after symptom onset between January 1, 2012 and December 31, 2015. Calls to EMS were assessed by self-report during an in-hospital interview and verified using structured chart reviews to determine clinical differences among patients calls to EMS. Results: Of the 1,002 patients studied, 414 patients (41.3%) called EMS. A similar percentage of women and men with AIS called EMS (43.1% and 39.3%, respectively). The group calls to EMS had a faster median arrival time at the hospital (159 minutes vs. 793 minutes) and higher National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores (8.2±6.25 vs. 4.28±3.73). After adjusting for sociodemographic factors, EMS users were found to have shorter median onset-to-door time and higher NIHSS scores (odds ratio [OR], 3.538; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.333–5.366; p<0.01, and OR, 3.336; 95% CI, 1.032–10.786; p<0.05, respectively). Conclusion: Our study suggests that calls to EMS reduces prehospital delay and expedites treatment for stroke. We expect that a wide-ranging and specialized educational program for increasing EMS use and enhancing stroke knowledge will lead to early hospital arrival for all age groups.
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INTRODUCTION

Stroke has become a major health problem in Korea1. According to a recent report, each year approximately 105,000 people experience a new or recurrent stroke, and more than 26,000 people die from stroke2,3. Patients with stroke must be treated immediately to achieve a good outcome and improve neurological prognosis4,5.

Time is the most important determinant in treatment of acute ischemic stroke (AIS), so it is reasonable to regard AIS as a critically time-dependent condition. Nonetheless, based on reports from Assessment for Quality for Acute Stroke Care in Korea, prehospital delay was greater in a group of patients with ischemic stroke than in those with hemorrhagic stroke6,7. Consequently, the proportion of patients who acce-
based on calling or not calls to EMS, to investigate the association between prehospital delay and calls to EMS.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

For this investigation, we recruited 1,002 patients aged 65 years and over, with a primary diagnosis of AIS within 24 hours from symptom onset, who were directly admitted to the Wonkwang University Hospital Regional Cardiocerebrovascular Center in Iksan city, Korea, between January 1, 2012 and December 30, 2015. All enrolled patients were diagnosed with AIS by magnetic resonance imaging of the brain. Wonkwang University Hospital is the main referral hospital in Iksan city, Korea, for all varieties of stroke and is supported by the Ministry of Health and Welfare. In-hospital interviews of patients with their next of kin or other witnesses were conducted within 48 hours of hospital admission to collect data regarding calls to EMS and to identify initial stroke symptoms and their onset. Structured chart reviews of patient demographic characteristics and medical records were performed. All patients were assessed for stroke severity using the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), with scores ranging from 0 to 38 on admission. Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores, ranging from 0 to 5 (with 0 for no symptoms, and 5 for severe disability), were assessed in all patients at 90 days after admission. This scale is widely applied in evaluating recovery from stroke.

Symptom onset was defined as the time the patient or any nearby witnesses noticed the symptoms. If the symptoms developed during sleep, time of symptom onset was defined as the time the patient fell asleep. Prehospital delay was evaluated using onset-to-door time, which was defined as the time from symptom onset to arrival at the Emergency Department of our hospital.

Data were analyzed with the chi-square test, independent t-test, and analysis of variance to evaluate univariate associations of categorical variables for all patients and between EMS and non-EMS users. Variables identified from a univariate analysis (p<0.05) among EMS users were considered explanatory variables, and these variables were subsequently adjusted for sociodemographic factors in a multivariate analysis. All test results were considered statistically significant if p<0.05. All analyses were performed using SPSS ver. 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**RESULTS**

Of the 1,002 patients studied, 414 patients (41.3%) called EMS. The mean age of the EMS user group was 77.28±6.96 years. There was no significant difference in the proportion of men and women between the 2 groups. The baseline characteristics of subjects are shown in Table 1.

EMS users had significantly higher NIHSS scores at admission (8.2±6.25 vs. 4.28±3.73) and a shorter median onset-to-door time (159 minutes vs. 793 minutes) than the non-EMS user group.

With regard to initial stroke symptoms, there tends to be less usage of EMS in cases of sensory symptoms such as paresthesia, which highlights the significance of awareness and knowledge of stroke symptoms in advance. Otherwise, there were no differences between the two groups in marital status, education level, or past history of hypertension or diabetes mellitus.

Table 2 summarizes the adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for significant factors calculated using multivariate logistic regression analysis. After adjusting for sociodemographic factors, shorter arrival time (OR, 3.538; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.333–5.366; p<0.01) and severity of NIHSS (OR, 3.336; 95% CI, 1.032–10.786; p<0.05) were associated with greater likelihood of using EMS.
Table 2. Logistic regression analysis of clinical factors associated with calls to EMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.008 (0.977–1.040)</td>
<td>0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrival time (&lt;3 hr)</td>
<td>3.538 (2.333–5.366)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of initial symptom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory change</td>
<td>0.306 (0.088–1.069)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild (1–4)</td>
<td>2.214 (1.352–3.628)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (5–15)</td>
<td>3.336 (1.032–10.786)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe (≥16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMS, emergency medical services; CI, confidence interval; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.

DISCUSSION

An association between early hospital arrival and the use of EMS has been reported in several studies (18-22). Those studies were conducted to assess factors that could determine or influence prehospital delays so that neurological outcomes in stroke patients could be improved by reducing such delays. In the present study, EMS users had significantly shorter prehospital delays than non-EMS users, consistent with the findings of previous studies (23-25). When we first analyzed 2,746 patients who were admitted with AIS within 24 hours from symptom onset between January 1, 2012 and December 30, 2015, we found that elderly patients over 65 years of age were significantly more likely to use EMS, and EMS users were more likely to arrive sooner at the hospital (data not shown). The main explanations for these findings were that, in comparison to younger age groups, the elderly have fewer transportation options and a higher percentage of these individuals live alone (14). Based on these findings, the present study was performed to investigate the determinants of use of EMS in patients with AIS aged 65 years and over.

Another finding of our study regarded differences in initial stroke symptoms and stroke severity. Statistically, EMS usage in the present study was in proportion to the severity level of unconsciousness and/or NIHSS score, similar to the findings of previous studies (18,21), thus, awareness of stroke warning signs is important. This result suggests that a community-based program is strictly required in the near future to improve public awareness of the warning signs of stroke. Considering mRS scores in 90 days, the non-EMS user group seemed to have a better result regarding difference in mRS, but considering the initial NIHSS scores of the two groups, early arrival at the hospital and prompt treatment owing to EMS use appears to improve patient prognosis, especially in elderly patients with severe symptoms. The association between patients’ EMS use and neurological outcomes remains unclear (6,22,26); further investigation will thus be required to elucidate this association.

In the current study, consistent with the findings of previous studies, sex, education level, and the presence of co-morbidities that are risk factors for stroke, such as hypertension and diabetes, were not associated with the use of EMS (6,20,27-29). In Korea, public knowledge about risk factors for stroke remains poor, and only half of the survey respondents were able to identify at least one stroke risk factor (20,22). Thus, patients who have a history of these risk factors may still lack knowledge of their importance. That ignorance, in turn, could influence their likelihood of using EMS. Other studies have reported that a negative prior experience with hospitals or physicians is an influential factor in the use of EMS (23). Although we did not examine the family interview for information regarding education level or awareness and knowledge of stroke warning signs, we strongly suggest that it is important to facilitate education to enhance the awareness and knowledge of stroke, thereby decreasing the time to arrival at the hospital by calls to EMS.

In conclusion, this was a community-based study performed to investigate the clinical characteristics and other factors associated with EMS use in Korea. We suggest that greater use of EMS by elderly individuals with severe symptoms could reduce prehospital delays and expedite treatment for AIS. Based on the findings of this study, we expect that a wide-ranging, and specialized educational program aimed at increasing EMS use and enhancing stroke knowledge will lead to earlier hospital arrival for all age groups.
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