Diagnostic Laparoscopy and Laparoscopic Diverting Sigmoid Loop Colostomy in Penetrating Extraperitoneal Rectal Injury: A Case Report
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INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopy has been one of the most effective modalities in various surgical situations, although its use in trauma patients has some limitations. The benefits of laparoscopy include cost-effectiveness, shorter length of hospital stay, and less postoperative pain. This report describes diagnostic laparoscopy and laparoscopic diverting sigmoid loop colostomy in penetrating extraperitoneal rectal injury. A 41-year-old male presented with perineal pain following penetrating trauma caused by a tree limb. Computed tomography showed air density in the perirectal space and retroperitoneum. As his vital signs were stable, we performed diagnostic laparoscopy and confirmed no intraperitoneal perforation. Therefore, laparoscopic diverting sigmoid loop colostomy was performed. He was discharged without any complications despite underlying hepatitis C-related cirrhosis. Colostomy closure was performed 3 months later.
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CASE REPORT

A 41-year-old male was transferred to the emergency room from another hospital after sustaining a penetrating injury caused by a tree limb. On arrival, he was alert, with blood pressure 120/80 mmHg, pulse rate 80/min, respiratory rate 20/min, and body temperature, 36.8°C. He had no significant medical history. Physical examination revealed a small entrance wound with a small amount of visible bleeding on the medial left upper thigh, and a perianal bruise (Fig. 1). Digital rectal examination was unremarkable. Hemoglobin was 13.4 g/dL, with no active bleeding focus. No transfusion was required.

Abdominal computed tomography (CT) revealed significant air density in the perirectal space and retroperitoneum, implying rectal perforation (Fig. 2). Because there was no extraluminal air density in the abdomen on CT, we presumed no intraperitoneal rectal perforation. The extraperitoneal rectal perforation was identified preoperatively by sigmoidoscopy. In sigmoidoscopy, ulcerative lesion with blood clots suspicious of perforation was found in mid rectum.

We performed emergency surgery for diagnosis and treatment. Diagnostic laparoscopy was performed to rule out an intraperitoneal rectal perforation. Findings included petechial hemorrhages and a small hemoperitoneum (Fig. 3), but perforation was not detected. Liver cirrhosis was incidentally detected. As there was no intraperitoneal perforation, we decided to perform diverting sigmoid loop colostomy rather than transanal repair of the rectal...
After dissection between the sigmoid colon and tissues near the colon to enable lifting to the anterior wall for the colostomy, a Jackson-Pratt drain was inserted into the pelvis for fluid drainage. After gas exsufflation, we successfully completed the loop colostomy (Fig. 4). The total operative time was 75 minutes. The patient was discharged on postoperative day 5 without any complications. Colostomy closure was successfully performed on day 75 following the original operation.

**DISCUSSION**

Because of its unique advantages, laparoscopy has been popular for management of various surgical situations. Likewise, in trauma field, laparoscopic use including the diagnostic laparoscopy has been expanded. However, limitations and contraindications cause some clinicians to perform immediate laparotomy, because of concern about hemodynamic instability, diffuse peritonitis, or evisceration [2,6]. Therefore, it is important to define relevant indications. Our patient was hemodynamically stable, and had no other contraindication for diagnostic laparoscopy. Some authors expressed concern that diagnostic laparoscopy performed by a surgeon with limited experience would result in missed injuries [7]. However, preoperative CT helps the surgeon to plan an operation and know which organ is damaged. Based on preoperative CT, we presumed no intraperitoneal organ injuries, and diagnostic laparoscopy avoided unnecessary laparotomy. Additionally, we incidentally diagnosed liver cirrhosis, and the patient was treated postoperatively.

Rectal injuries have different treatment options, depending on anatomical location and severity of injuries. Because of anatomical and clinical similarity, the vast majority of intraperitoneal rectal injuries are managed by primary repair [8]. The classic management of penetrating extraperitoneal rectal injuries include proximal fecal diversion, presacral drainage, and distal rectal washout. For patients with nondestructive extraperitoneal rectal injuries, an Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma practice management guideline conditionally recommends against the routine use of presacral drains and distal rectal washout. Even if proximal diversion maybe somewhat advocated, the role of routine use has been challenged [3]. However, some extraperitoneal injuries like our case are difficult to repair because their anatomical location is too low for a transabdominal approach and too high for a transanal approach. These cases can be managed safely with a proximal diverting colostomy alone, without repair of the perforation [3-5]. Therefore, we performed diverting sigmoid loop colostomy only without looking for the perforation site. Previous evidence reported the usefulness of diagnostic laparoscopy and laparoscopic diverting loop sigmoid colostomy in
low-velocity gunshot injuries with extraperitoneal rectal injuries [4,5]. However, in South Korea, in terms of the law, the occurrence of the gunshot injuries is extremely rare. We presumed that the mechanism of injuries in low-velocity penetrating trauma would be similar and successfully used the same approach. Even though liver cirrhosis might affect recovery, the patient was discharged on postoperative day 5 without any complications.

The appropriate use of laparoscopy is associated with better patient outcomes. However, considerable laparoscopic experience is needed for effective use [7]. The government of South Korea prohibits the non-traumatic emergency general surgery done by trauma surgeons in regional trauma centers which receive the financial support by the government, and they had relatively fewer opportunities for laparoscopic experience. Nonetheless, diagnostic laparoscopy, especially with preoperative CT, and laparoscopic diverting sigmoid loop colostomy do not need complicated techniques. The operative time for our case was 75 minutes, making it feasible even for the surgeon without extensive laparoscopy experience, and was comparable to that reported in another study [9].

The optimal timing of colostomy closure is unclear. A minimum of 3 months has been advocated traditionally, but subsequent studies showed the closure earlier than 3 months is safe. Recent studies even recommended closure during the initial hospitalization, sometimes within 2 weeks from the original operation [10-13].

Extraperitoneal rectal perforation may be hard to repair primarily. However, diagnostic laparoscopy and laparoscopic diverting sigmoid loop colostomy in this clinical situation can be a feasible treatment option for trauma surgeons.

REFERENCES


