Indirect Reduction and Spinal Canal Remodeling through Ligamentotaxis for Lumbar Burst Fracture
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The choice of the most appropriate treatment for thoracolumbar or lumbar spine burst fracture remains controversial from conservative treatment to fusion through a posterior or anterior approach. There are many cases where ligamentotaxis is used to reduce the burst fracture. However, indirect reduction using ligamentotaxis is often limited in the magnitude of the reduction that it can achieve. In our patient with severe burst fracture, we were able to restore an almost normal level of vertebral height and secure spinal canal widening by using only ligamentotaxis by posterior instrumentation. Before the operation, the patient had more than 95% encroachment of the spinal canal. This was reduced to less than 10% after treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

There are many ways in choosing surgical treatment method for burst fracture of the thoracolumbar or lumbar spine. In selecting surgical treatment, surgeon must consider how much the vertebral body is comminuted, the loss of vertebral height, the sagittal plane alignment changes, and how much the fractured vertebral fragments have retropulsed and invaded the spinal canal. One of the key factors for treatment of burst fracture is the reduction or resolution of the posterior fractured fragments that is retropulsed in the spinal canal. Methods for reducing fractured fragments include ‘direct reduction’ by directly pressing the fractured fragment into initial position and ‘indirect reduction’ using ligamentotaxis. The indirect reduction method is more secure than
the direct reduction, but there is some limitation to the reduction completely. Here, we report a case in which a patient with a spinal canal encroachment of 95% or more was reduced almost to normal through intraoperative indirect reduction and spontaneous spinal canal remodeling during follow-up eight months.

CASE REPORT

A 48-year-old male patient with lower back pain and right lower leg pain had tenderness at the 3rd lumbar vertebra (L3) area on physical examination. Plain radiographs showed a 45% decrease in the anterior height of the L3 vertebral body, and computed tomography (CT) showed that posterior fractured fragments were retropulsed into more than 95% of the spinal canal (Fig. 1A, B). On magnetic resonance imaging, the retropulsed fragments almost completely compressed the dura (Fig. 1C). However, surprisingly, the patient’s motor function was greater than grade IV in the lower leg as a whole, and sensory testing showed tingling and hypesthesia in the right lower limb. The bulbocavernous reflex, perianal sense and anal tone were normal.

The operation was planned to perform in two stages. The first stage was performed on the day of admission. L3 was reduced and a posterior fusion was done at L2-3-4. The second stage operation (L3 anterior reconstruction) was planned to be performed if the anterior column of the L3 body is found to be unstable during follow-up. A wide range of hematomas and soft tissue injuries were identified at the L2-3-4 level along skin

Fig. 1. (A) Preoperative sagittal CT of a 48-year-old man showing a severe L3 burst fracture and 45% decrease of anterior vertebral height. (B) Preoperative axial CT showing more than 95% encroachment of spinal canal. (C) Preoperative sagittal magnetic resonance imaging showing compression of the cauda equina by a fractured vertebral fragment and a long subcutaneous hemorrhage of the back. CT: computed tomography.

Fig. 2. (A) Postoperative anteroposterior and (B) lateral plain radiograph showing significant restoration of the anterior vertebral height. (C) Postoperative sagittal and (D) axial CT showing canal decompression compared with the preoperative axial CT view. CT: computed tomography.
incision. The pedicle screw was inserted into L2 and L4, and indirect reduction was performed by distraction after connecting the rod. After insertion of the L3 pedicle screw, and further distraction was performed between L2-3 because the location of retropulsed fragments was mainly between L2 and L3 pedicle. The left L3 screw was used as 35 mm length because there was a possibility of L3 anterior reconstruction through a left retroperitoneal approach in the second stage of operation. Posterior fusion, including facet fusion, was performed using an allogeneic graft. After surgery, the vertebra recovered 100% of its original height, and the spinal canal was widened by more than 50% on CT (Fig. 2). The patient was discharged on the 25th postoperative day. His motor function did not change, and his right lower leg hypesthesia slightly improved. Eight months after surgery, CT scans showed that the bone fragments in the spinal canal were absorbed and restored to almost normal levels (less than 10% encroachment), and the vertebral height was slightly decreased (Fig. 3). At the last follow-up X-ray and CT, we believed that additional anterior reconstruction was not necessary. On the lateral plain radiograph, breakage of the L2 right pedicle screw was observed. It was considered that the posterior fusion between L2 and L3 was incomplete. However, the patient is now walking well, and there have not been any other changes in symptoms. We will continue to observe.

**DISCUSSION**

Thoracolumbar or lumbar burst fracture is a common trauma that can cause spinal cord or nerve injury because of the impact on the anterior and middle column of the vertebra when a vertical load is applied [1]. Generally, fragment excision and anterior reconstruction surgery are recommended for patients with severe spinal canal involvement. However, indirect reduction with ligamentotaxis of the posterior longitudinal ligament can also be used to treat thoracolumbar or lumbar burst fractures [2,3]. According to Mueller et al. [4], the spinal canal of patients with a thoracolumbar burst fracture has been widened by about 10% compared to preoperative cases, and spinal canal stenosis remained postoperatively in most cases. At levels below L3, indirect reduction using ligamentotaxis had poor outcomes due to weak posterior longitudinal ligament. On average 6% widening of spinal canal was observed. Only one case (L4 fracture) showed 23% spinal canal widening [5]. Wang et al. [6] reported that reduction was not well achieved when the displacement distance between fractured fragment and vertebral body was greater than 8.5 mm or the rotation angle was greater than 55 degrees.

In our case, the spinal canal was almost blocked preoperatively. At eight months after surgery, the canal width was 90% of normal. We achieved good reduction even though the displacement distance was about 13 mm, the rotation angle was about 61 degrees, and the ligamentotaxis force of the posterior longitudinal ligament at L3 level was weak. The success of the initial reduction was probably due to the relatively young age of the patient, his thin body type, and good bone density, and also the short time between injury and surgery. Compared with the spinal canal status immediately after the operation, spinal...
canal remodeling seems to be a reason of additional widening of the spinal canal at postoperative eight months. According to Moon and Lee [7], canal remodeling was influenced by postoperative distraction or comminuted type of fracture. Canal remodeling was thought to be caused by cord pulsation of the thecal sac and venous pulsation of the anterior internal longitudinal plexus, but a clear mechanism has not been elucidated.

In this case, the patient’s vertebral height and spinal canal were restored nearly to normal levels through indirect reduction and canal remodeling after a burst fracture with very severe spinal canal encroachment. There have been many reported cases of spinal canal widening using indirect reduction. Nevertheless, there has been no reported case of nearly complete reduction and canal remodeling after a thoracolumbar or lumbar burst fracture with more than 95% spinal canal encroachment. The successful results at the eight-month follow-up were thought to be due to the younger age of the patient, the short time between the injury and the surgery, thin body type, good bone density, and canal remodeling. Therefore, indirect reduction with ligamentotaxis may show good results in selective case among patients with thoracolumbar or lumbar burst fracture.
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