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Purpose: Few studies have addressed the effect of diurnal circadian rhythms on postural stability, and thus the aim of the present study was to examine circadian effects on static and dynamic postural stability in young adults.

Methods: Twenty-four subjects (9 men, 11 women: age = 22.20 ± 1.77, height = 167.20 ± 10.47, weight = 59.85 ± 10.66) from a university community volunteered for this study. Static and dynamic balance testing, which recorded using a Good Balance system (Good Balance, Metitur Ltd, Finland) was conducted at 9:00, 13:00, and 17:00 hours on two consecutive days, and the sequencing of static and dynamic balance tests were randomized. Results were analyzed using the non-parametric one-way repeated Friedman test in SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and variable found to be significant were subjected to Wilcoxon post hoc testing.

Results: Static and dynamic balance showed significant difference at the three times assessments (test at 9:00, 13:00, and 17:00) during circadian. In the post hoc test of static (anteroposterior distance, mediolateral distance and COP (center of pressure) velocity) and dynamic balance (performance time), 13:00 was the longer and faster than 9:00.

Conclusion: These results indicated that control of postural balance is influenced by diurnal circadian rhythms, and confirm that control of postural balance is more effective and better performance in the 09:00 hours than 13:00 hours or 17:00 hours.
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Introduction

Postural stability is defined as the ability to control position in order to maintain one’s center of gravity or equilibrium within the limits of stability, over a base of support during functional activities and to compensate for internal and external perturbations. It is influenced by a range of various sensory and motor functions, including the visual and vestibular systems, proprioceptive information, and muscular strength. Various studies have reported changes in postural stability related to the neuromuscular system (fatigue due to general and local exercise) and the cognitive system (e.g., mental fatigue due to a demanding sustained-attention task), which can impact motor activity.

It is well known and certain that biological rhythms may be influenced by time-of-day, possibly due to variations caused by hormonal changes, body temperature, perceptions of fatigue, somatosensory input, muscular strength, and other factors. Diurnal circadian rhythms are influenced many physiological functions in the human organism, such as, cognitive function and metabolic state, and cause fluctuations in physiological functions. In other words, diurnal circadian rhythms may affect functional ability to perform various types of motor tasks, including maintenance of postural stability. However, few studies have addressed the effect of diurnal circadian rhythms on postural stability, and thus, this study was undertaken to investigate circadian effects on static and dynamic postural stability in young adults.

Methods

1. Subjects
Twenty subjects (9 men, 11 women: age = 22.20 ± 1.77, height = 167.20 ± 10.47, weight = 59.85 ± 10.66) from a university community volunteered for this study. All subjects provided their informed consent before participating in the study. Subjects were free from...
lower limb injury (e.g. strain, sprain, surgery, or fracture) and any neurologic or vestibular impairment that might influence postural stability for a period of 6 months prior to study commencement. Additionally, subjects were asked to sleep as normal and not to consume alcohol for 24 hours before testing day.

2. Experimental methods

Static and dynamic balance tests were conducted using a Good Balance system (Good Balance, Metitur Ltd, Finland), which consists of a portable equilateral triangular force platform (800 × 800 × 800 mm) equipped with strain gauge transducers connected to a three-channel DC amplifier and a 12-byte analogue-to-digital (A/D) converter connected to a computer. Indexes of the Good Balance system representative of static and dynamic balance ability, including shifts in the center of pressure (COP) in the mediolateral and anteroposterior directions, and the path of COP, were used to measure postural stability.

To measure static balance (anteroposterior and mediolateral direction, and COP velocity) under stable surface condition, subjects stood on the force plate with legs spread at shoulder width and then looked at a number on a monitor for 30 seconds, and to measure dynamic balance (performance time and total distance), nine boxes, which consist of eight peripheral targets and one central target, were shown on the monitor. Subjects were asked to shift their COP toward a target when one of the peripheral targets was presented at random, and then to shift back to the central target. Laboratory testing was performed at 9:00, 13:00, and 17:00 hours on two consecutive days, and static and dynamic balance tests were randomized. Subjects were allowed to familiarize themselves with the balance test beforehand to minimize learning effects. Three repeat measurements were taken at each of the six test sessions, and average values were entered into the analysis. A rest period of three minutes was allowed between measurements at individual sessions.

3. Statistical analysis

Results were analyzed using the non-parametric one-way repeated Friedman test in SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and variable found to be significant were subjected to Wilcoxon post hoc testing. Statistical significance was accepted for values < 0.05.

Result

Static balance, which was measured anteroposterior and mediolateral distances, and COP velocity, were found significantly different at the 3 assessments during circadian, and post hoc testing showed anteroposterior and mediolateral distance, and COP velocity, was longer and faster at 1300 hours than 900 hours.

Dynamic balance, that is performance time, was also revealed significant difference among three assessments, and post hoc testing showed performance time at 13:00 hours was the longer than 9:00 hours. However, total distance was not significant difference among 3 assessments.

Table 1. Comparison of static and dynamic balance variables at the three testing time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of Day</th>
<th>AP Distance</th>
<th>ML Distance</th>
<th>COP Velocity</th>
<th>Performance Time</th>
<th>Total Distance (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 AM</td>
<td>130.33±69.07</td>
<td>8.44±7.58</td>
<td>6.82±2.41</td>
<td>17.55±4.47</td>
<td>21.81±9.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00 PM</td>
<td>160.17±47.97</td>
<td>15.05±11.10</td>
<td>11.35±9.40</td>
<td>24.77±9.49</td>
<td>30.20±16.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00 PM</td>
<td>153.43±70.51</td>
<td>11.15±6.07</td>
<td>9.15±8.56</td>
<td>27.77±20.43</td>
<td>27.62±13.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ² Chi-square (p value)

| 6.7 (0.035) | 8.38 (0.015) | 6.56 (0.038) |

Mean Rank,

| 1.55* | 2.35 | 2.1 |
| 1.48* | 2.28 | 2.25 |
| 1.68* | 2.45 | 1.88 |
| 1.48* | 2.35 | 2.17 |
| 1.6 | 2.25 | 2.15 |

*significant difference compared with 13:00 PM (p < 0.05).
‡significant difference compared with 17:00 PM (p < 0.05).
Discussion

In this study, we investigated the effect of time of day on static and dynamic postural stability in young adults. Our results indicated that control of static balance (AP distance, ML distance and COP velocity) and dynamic balance (performance time and total distance) were more better at 9:00 hours than 13:00 hours and 17:00 hours. Accordingly, our results indicate diurnal circadian rhythms influence control of postural balance.

More specifically, static and dynamic balance measures at 9:00 hours revealed smaller distances, velocities and shorter performance times for static and dynamic parameters than at 13:00 hours, indicating that control of postural balance was better in the morning than in the early afternoon. The mechanism responsible for these changes in postural balance has not been determined. However, it is possible that motor performance and postural balance might be influenced by circadian rhythms, which involve cognitive functions, sensory inputs and muscle activation. Considering postural balance with physical activity or attentional loading, results of our study could be related to the increase of postural instability with cognitive and generalized metabolic fatigue-induced manipulation during time of day. It has been previously suggested elevated levels of physical activity or demanding cognitive functions alter motor performance and postural balance.11-13 Baccouch et al.15 also reported that attentional capacities were better at 10:00 hours and 18:00 hours in comparison with 14:00 hours during time-of-day. This result is consistent with our results, which mean rank of postural control was lower at 13:00 hours in comparison with 9:00 hours and 17:00 hours.

These results indicated that control of postural balance is influenced by diurnal circadian rhythms, and confirm that control of postural balance is more effective and better performance in the 09:00 hours than 13:00 hours or 17:00 hours. Therefore, when choosing to use postural balance test for comparisons across days or group, it is meant that test might need to maintain consistency of the testing time. When interpreting the data, several limitation of the present study warrant consideration. First, the amount of physical activities were not controlled for, although subjects were asked to refrain from undue exercise prior to testing session. Second, the study was conducted on healthy and young age subject, and thus, additional studies are required on other age groups. Conduct of future studies to include the factors mentioned above will be required.
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