The Effects of Head Position in Different Sitting Postures on Muscle Activity with/without Forward Head and Rounded Shoulder
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Purpose: Differences in scapular kinematics and muscle activity appear in the forward head and rounded shoulder posture (FHRSP). Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the following effects according to different postures on scapular kinematics and muscle activity around scapular region in individuals with and without FHRSP during overhead reaching task.

Methods: Thirty pain-free subjects with/without FHRSP participated in this study. All subjects were positioned into three positions: habitual head posture (HHP), self-perceived ideal head posture (SIHP) and therapist-perceived neutral head posture (TNHP). Muscle activities of upper trapezius (UT), lower trapezius (LT) and serratus anterior (SA) were measured during overhead reaching task.

Results: Muscle activity of trapezius muscle (UT and LT) during HHP was significantly higher than SIHP and TNHP in FHRSP group (p<0.05), but there was no difference between SIHP and TNHP. SA also significantly increased muscle activity in HHP more than SIHP and TNHP in FHRSP group (p<0.05), but there was no significant difference between SIHP and TNHP. In Non-FHRSP group, although there was a tendency of different muscle activities among three postures, it was not statistically significant.

Conclusion: This result demonstrates that muscle activity associated with overhead reaching task is increased in HHP which affects the scapular kinematics and SIHP contributes changed scapular kinematics and proper recruitment of muscle activity in FHRSP similarly to TNHP.
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I. Introduction

Poor posture such as forward head posture (FHP) and rounded shoulder posture is well-known as intrinsic risk factors of shoulder pain and dysfunction. It has been reported that this poor posture altered scapular position, kinematics and muscle activity around shoulder region by making increased forward head, severe thoracic kyphosis and anterior shoulder position. Especially, development of neck symptoms is highly associated with sustained non–neutral spinal postures, resulting in increased muscle activity of shoulder stabilizers such as upper trapezius, lower trapezius and serratus anterior muscle which are related to cervical spine.

Proper head posture has been reported to be in a normal state of well–balanced musculoskeletal system, minimizing the stresses and strains provided to neck–shoulder region. However, individuals with forward head posture showed the increased extensor torque around the upper cervical region and higher levels of vertebral loading. This abnormal state causes musculoskeletal abnormality such as less scapular upward rotation, greater internal rotation as well as greater...
anterior tilting which may lead to difficulties to maintain an upright sitting posture. In addition, it has been also reported that forward head posture also worsens diverse neuromuscular symptoms in upper body such as pain, numbness, functional loss by increasing muscle tension and stress on neck and shoulder region. Previous studies reported that different sitting postures make different shoulder kinematics and muscle activity. In O’Sullivan’s study, it is reported that three different sitting postures influenced spinal curvature and trunk muscle activities respectively and Mclean suggests that upright sitting posture reduced muscle activation of upper trapezius. In addition, it is also reported that ideally neutral upright posture is difficult to be made without feedback, Kieran mentioned that not only manual feedback but also verbal feedback were necessary to facilitate neutral sitting postures. That is, that study implied that it is difficult for individuals solely to facilitate neutral sitting postures without any feedback or instruction. However, there are also controversial opinions. Several previous studies reported that self–ideal neutral posture had also positive effects on improving altered shoulder kinematics and muscle activity. Edmondston found subjectively perceived ideal posture showed similar results to corrected posture by therapists in other studies and Kwon also reported significant differences in subjectively ideal head posture compared with habitual sitting posture. Furthermore, there is only limited evidence of influences of cervical posture to cervico–thoracic muscle activity.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the following effects according to different postures on scapular kinematics and muscle activity around scapular region by comparing three different head postures, habitual head posture (HHP), self–perceived ideal head posture (SIHP) and therapist–perceived neutral head posture (TNHP).

II. Methods

1. Subjects

Thirty pain–free subjects with/without the forward head and rounded shoulder posture (FHRSP) were recruited from university campus (Table 1). Subjects were excluded if they reported a history of neck and shoulder pain or any current pain, upper limb injury, displayed musculoskeletal pathology of cervical or thoracic, and neurological disorders limiting activities. The FHRSP was diagnosed by forward head angle (FHA) ≤54.0˚ and forward shoulder angle (FSA) ≤50.0˚ based on observational and photogrammetry methods according to previous studies to separate the FHRSP group and the Non–FHRSP group. All subjects provided written informed consent prior to participation. Ethical approval was obtained from the local university research ethics committee.

2. Experimental methods

1) FHRSP measurement

Postural data of the FHRSP were collected using a digital imaging technique to evaluate head, neck, and shoulder posture in the sitting position. A digital camera (EOS 1000D, Canon, Japan) was placed at a tripod 1 m high and 3.5 m from the wall on a fixed base without rotation or tilt. All subjects were instructed to sit on the chair beside background wall to take capture of saggital plane of their upper body. Before capturing their saggital plane, they were asked to move head forward and backward in the full range of motion three times and then return to beginning position to make their natural head posture with looking straight ahead. The markers which were for the measurement of head/shoulder angle were placed on the tragus of ear, acromion and spinous process of C7, Adobe Photoshop (San Jose, CA, USA) was used in this study to measure FHA and FSA. FHA was determined from the vertical anteriorly to the line between the tragus of ear and C7 spinous process and FSA was also
determined by measuring from the vertical posteriorly to a line between C7 spinous process and the acromion. This procedure was based on previous studies which of reliability and validity were well-established.

2) Electromyography (EMG) measurement
A four channel surface EMG system (MP30, Biopack, USA) was used to measure the muscle activity and EMG signals were recorded with pre-amplified electrodes (Biopack System, Biopack, USA) in this study. Three muscles such as upper trapezius (UT), lower trapezius (LT) and serratus anterior (SA) were selected as the target muscles to obtain EMG signals. The location point of EMG electrodes were as followings: (1) UT was lateral to the half-way point of an imaginary line formed by the posterior aspect of the acromion and the spinous process of C7, (2) LT was next to the medial edge of the scapula at an oblique angle of 55°, (3) SA was just below the axillary area, at the level of the inferior tip of the scapular, and just medial to the latissimus dorsi. A ground electrode was placed on the right clavicle. Before attaching the electrodes, the skin over the electrode location was shaved, if needed, and cleaned with alcohol. All EMG recordings were conducted during overhead reaching task. EMG signal data were converted to digital signals using Acqknowlege software (Biopac System, Biopack, USA) for statistical analysis. EMG data were sampled at 1000 Hz and bandpass was filtered between 10 and 500 Hz.

3) Experimental procedure for assessments
This is a single session, repeated measures study. All subjects were in three sitting positions: habitual head posture (HHP), self-perceived ideal head posture (SIHP), and therapist-perceived neutral head posture (TNHP). In HHP, subjects were asked to sit on the chair comfortably with verbal instruction like ‘sit as you usually do’ with looking at the fixed point straight ahead. And then, they were instructed to sit in a self-balanced position which they thought is the ideal posture without any manual or verbal feedback on the posture. Finally, experienced therapists facilitated the neutral posture of subjects with manual and verbal instruction to reflect clinical practice. Each posture was held for 10 seconds, repeated three times with a 10-second relaxation between each trial. Each measurement was conducted three times in three different days to avoid the learning effects and muscle fatigue. The average value of angles and muscle activities was used for statistical analysis. All tests were performed by skilled physical therapists and the therapists were blinded. After setup was completed, muscle activity was measured during overhead reaching task for three repetitions, three sessions. During overhead reaching task, subjects were asked to raise their right arm from a position of arms relaxed at their side up to 180 degree at a self-selected speed with their elbow straight and non-elevated shoulder and a weight equal to 3% of body weight is needed to be lifted in that task. Subjects were provided with 30-second break to avoid muscle fatigue.

Table 2. Changes of the muscle activity values (%MVIC) during the overhead reaching task among the head/shoulder postures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Head/shoulder posture</th>
<th>Posture</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Interaction (Posture x Group)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>SIHP</td>
<td>TNHP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>FHRSP</td>
<td>38.52 ± 11.06</td>
<td>30.95 ± 8.55</td>
<td>30.49 ± 8.81</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-FHRSP</td>
<td>26.59 ± 12.65</td>
<td>25.72 ± 10.63</td>
<td>21.19 ± 11.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT</td>
<td>FHRSP</td>
<td>23.44 ± 8.26</td>
<td>19.61 ± 7.16</td>
<td>18.62 ± 4.40</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-FHRSP</td>
<td>15.78 ± 9.01</td>
<td>14.88 ± 10.50</td>
<td>13.92 ± 9.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>FHRSP</td>
<td>60.28 ± 15.54</td>
<td>47.64 ± 11.68</td>
<td>45.90 ± 18.12</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-FHRSP</td>
<td>43.88 ± 15.85</td>
<td>43.64 ± 16.15</td>
<td>41.78 ± 13.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; %MVIC=%Maximal voluntary isometric contraction; UT=Upper trapezius; LT=Lower trapezius; SA=Serratus anterior; FHRSP=Forward head and rounded shoulder posture; HHP=Habitual head/shoulder posture; SIHP=Self-perceived ideal head/shoulder posture; TNHP=Therapist-perceived neutral head/shoulder posture
fatigue. The root mean square (RMS) values were calculated and the maximal EMG signals were obtained to normalize the EMG values during 5-second maximal voluntary isometric contractions (MVIC). The values of the first and last one second were discarded and the mean RMS of middle 3 seconds was calculated during humeral elevation. The %MVIC was measured three times and 60-second breaks were provided between each MVIC trial, and then the mean %MVIC during ascending motions was calculated for statistical analysis.

3. Statistical analysis

Demographic data, such as gender, age, height, and weight were analyzed by an independent t-test. In order to separate two groups, FHA and FSA, independent t-test was used again. The effect of the head/shoulder posture between two groups was determined using a 3 (head/shoulder postures: HHP, SIHP and TNHP) x 2 (groups: FHRSP, Non-FHRSP) ANOVA with repeated measures on three dependent variables (muscle activities of UT, LT, and SA). All statistical analyses were performed using PASW 18.0 for Windows. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

III. Results

Table 1 displays general characteristics of each group. There were no significant differences between the FHRSP group and the Non-FHRSP group in terms of gender, age, height, and weight (p>0.05). However, there was statistically significant difference of the FHA and the FSA between two groups, the Non-FHRSP group showing greater angle than the FHRSP group (p<0.05).

In the UT muscle activity, the results of the univariate analysis showed a larger main effect of the head/shoulder posture (p<0.05) and group (p<0.05), but there was no main effect of the posture-by-group interaction (p>0.05)(Table 2). In the LT muscle activity, the results showed a larger main effect in the head/shoulder posture (p<0.05), but not in the group (p>0.05) and the posture-by-group interaction.
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(p<0.05)(Table 2). In the SA muscle activity, the results also showed a larger main effect according to the head/shoulder posture (p<0.05) as well as the posture—by—group interaction (p<0.05), but group (p<0.05) showed no main effect (Table 2). That is, the main effect of posture—by—group interaction was shown only in SA, suggesting that the muscle activity of SA was significantly different according to head/shoulder posture between groups compared to other muscles.

IV. Discussion

Current study is to investigate if HHP has an effect on scapular kinematic and muscle activity around shoulder such as UT, LT and SA by comparing with SIHP and TNHP during overhead reaching task. Subjects were divided into two groups, FHRSP group and Non-FHRSP group according to FHA and FSA. All subjects were instructed to pose three head/shoulder postures: HHP, SIHP, and TNHP. Muscle activities of UT, LT and SA were measured during overhead reaching task to assess the difference of muscle activity among three postures. As a result, muscle activities of three muscles were significantly different from each posture. Muscle activities of UT, LT and SA in HHP were more increased than SIHP and TNHP in the FHRSP group but there was no difference between SIHP and TNHP. In addition, there was no difference of muscle activities among three postures in Non-FHRSP. These results may imply that muscle activity associated with overhead reaching task is increased in HHP which affects the scapular kinematics and SIHP changes changed scapular kinematics and proper recruitment of muscle activity similarly to TNHP.

Head/Shoulder angle, such as FHA and FSA were measured using photogrammetry to diagnose FHRS. In recent studies, it is reported that measurement of angles between anatomical references is well—known to be effective on evaluating the changes of the head/shoulder posture. In addition, visual observation of head position related to the anatomical references is commonly used and defined by Kendall’s study. In this study, Photogrammetry which is a simple and objective measurement tool for this visual observation of head position by analyzing the posture of different parts of body was used. By using this photogrammetry, FHA and FAS can be clinically measured as reliable and valid method to diagnose the FHRS as mentioned in previous studies.

Altered kinematics and muscle activity were assessed in three head posture and UT, LT and SA muscles were chosen because it is reported that those muscles have a key role in scapular movement which is easily diminished by the FHRS. In addition, Bostard demonstrated FHRS increases thoracic kyphosis and altered scapular position, kinematics, and muscle activity. Thus, individuals with FHRS are believed to have altered muscle activities of UT, LT and SA comparing with individuals without FHRS. That’s why those muscles were selected to assess the changes of muscle activity.

Muscle activity of trapezius muscle (UT and LT) during HHP was higher than SIHP and TNHP in FHRS group, but there was no difference between SIHP and TNHP. In Non—FHRS group, although there was a tendency of different muscle activities among three postures, it was not statistically significant. Muscle activity of trapezius muscle is believed to increase in FHRS. It is reported that FHRS causes the shortened length and increased tension of levator scapula. Since levator scapula is the antagonist muscle and trapezius muscle is agonist muscle for scapular upward rotation, once the tension of levator scapula is increased by FHRS, the upward rotation of scapula is prohibited. In order to compensate this abnormal mechanism, it is believed that trapezius muscle is needed to be more activated to a greater extension. In addition, trapezius muscle make a coupling force with serratus anterior, resulting in the alteration of scapular movement such as excessive upward rotation and anterior tilting.

The SA muscle showed increased muscle activity in HHP more than SIHP and TNHP in FHRS group, but there was no significant difference between SIHP and TNHP. In Non—FHRS group, although there was a tendency of different muscle activities among three postures, it was not statistically significant. Previous studies reported that FHRS changes the greater internal rotation and anterior tilting angle of scapula. The SA muscle is reported to control the anterior/posterior tilting and upward/downward rotation of the scapular. Once
FHRSP alters the scapular kinematics, SA activation becomes changed to control the altered kinematics. In addition, it is also reported in previous study that FHRSP increases thoracic kyphosis resulting in decreased scapular upward rotation.²³ Individuals with FHRSP shows the increased scapular anterior tipping by 3~4° resulting in increased thoracic kyphosis and short pectoralis minor length.²³ Thus it is believed that SA muscle activity is needed to increase in order to compensate the abnormal scapular movement because SA is the main muscle to play a key role of scapular upward rotation.

In conclusion, the findings of this study indicated that different head postures have different effects on head/shoulder kinematics and muscle activity. This may support for the clinical approach that postural alterations related to FHRSP can change the scapular kinematics and muscle activity in individuals with FHRSP. There are some limitations in this study. First, we didn’t investigate other muscles which can affect the head/shoulder kinematics. Second, characteristics of personal habitual posture were not assessed in this study, because the aim of this study was just to find the following effects according to different postures. Prospective studies should consider the diverse muscles and possibility of personal habitual posture related to various movements of scapular and shoulder.
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