Prenatal diagnosis of the isodicentric chromosome 22 associated with cat eye syndrome by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification
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Introduction

Cat eye syndrome (CES), or Schmid-Fraccaro syndrome, is a very rare chromosomal syndrome characterized by various malformations such as anal atresia, preauricular malformation, coloboma of the iris, and congenital heart and renal defects. This genetic disorder is caused by partial duplication of chromosome 22, mostly as a result of a supernumerary isodicentric marker chromosome idic(22)(q11.2). Various congenital abnormalities and extreme phenotypic variability in CES patients have been reported, which have made prenatal diagnosis of CES difficult. We report the first case diagnosed with CES prenatally by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification in a woman who was referred to our hospital, for a fetus presenting with heart anomaly.
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and molecular genetic analyses including multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) is very useful to identify the origin of the supernumerary marker chromosome (SMC).

Case

A 37-year-old woman, gravida 3, para 0, ectopic pregnancy 2, was referred to the genetics laboratory of CHA Gangnam Medical Center at 22 weeks of gestation, for a fetus presenting with heart anomalies of type II interruption of aortic arch and large ventricular septal defect in fetal echocardiogram. An amniocentesis was performed for fetal karyotype determination. The cytogenetic analysis of 20 cells showed a SMC with a bisatellited and isodicentric form (Fig. 1). Both parents showed normal karyotypes, indicating that the marker chromosome was de novo. This marker chromosome was analyzed further by MLPA with P070-subtelomere and P245-microdeletion probemix (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). In results of MLPA analysis, duplication at 22q11.1 was detected by P070-subtelomere probemix (Fig. 2A) but not P245-microdeletion probemix (Fig. 2B) contained 22q11.21 region associated with DiGeorge syndrome. The duplication was located in the 22q11 CES region (IL17RA gene) in the fetus. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis of this marker chromosome was conducted on metaphase spreads with a probe for the DGCR N25 (Vysis, Abbott Park, IL, USA), located on 22q11.2, which did not show any signal on the marker chromosome (Fig. 3). MLPA and FISH analyses concluded that the marker was a typical type 1 CES chromosome. Therefore, the karyotype of the fetus was defined as 47,XX,+mar.rs 22q11.1|L17RA|X3 dn, resulting in trisomy of the proximal part of 22q11.

Discussion

CES is a rare chromosomal syndrome characterized by duplication of the region that spans the chromosome 22p arm and part of 22q11, usually in the form of a bisatellited, isodicentric supernumerary chromosome [1]. The formation of the idic(22) of CES can be explained as mainly due to homologous recombination during meiosis, or breakage and reunion of the sister chromatids near the centromere [6].

The CES critical region (CESCR) has breakpoints between proximal locus ATP6E and distal locus D22S57, covering approximately 2 Mb of 22q11.2 [7]. Fourteen genes have thus far been identified in the CESCR, and two of these genes, CECR1 and CECR2 may be critical dosage-sensitive genes [4,8].

Extreme phenotypic variability is particularly problematic as a de novo SMC(22) ascertained prenatally. In the first prenatal case report of CES, Volpe et al. [9] documented increased nuchal translucency, and ear and cardiac anomalies in fetal ultrasonographic investigation. Postnatal examination showed ocular colobomata, an imperforate anus, and facial dysmorphism.

Fig. 1. GTG-banded metaphase chromosomes showing a supernumerary bisatellited marker.
Rosias et al. [2] have reviewed the findings of previous reports with clinical features of 105 reported CES patients. They described the large phenotypic variability, ranging from marginally affected to full pattern of malformation, and lethal outcome. Most of the prenatal CES patients were diagnosed incidentally by fetal chromosome analysis referred for advanced maternal age but did not show any feature in ultrasonographic screening. It is difficult to reveal the features of CES such as iris coloboma and few other subtle features in the ultrasound screening at mid trimester [10]. Among CES malformations, preauricular skin tags and/or pits were the most consistent features. Berends et al. [11] studied a group of 74 patients and found that preauricular anomalies accounted for 81% of the CES patients. Evaluation of the fetal face, particularly the 'ear', is an important aspect of the mid trimester anomaly scan in prenatal screening that gives some important clues for either a syndromic or nonsyndromic

![Fig. 2. MLPA (Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification) analysis using P070-subtelomere (A) and P245-microdeletion (B) probemix, respectively. IL17RA: Interleukin 17 receptor A.](image)

![Fig. 3. Fluorescence in situ hybridization result with DiGeorge syndrome region (N25) probe. N25 and ARSA signals are absent in the marker (arrow) and present in the two normal chromosome 22.](image)
CES. The use of three- and four-dimensional ultrasonography enables easier and more rapid detection of ear malformations [9,12]. A protocol to investigate ear anomalies has been established for the prenatal diagnosis of chromosomal abnormalities [13].

Approximately 20% of SMCs are familial and are usually inherited without phenotypic effects [12]. In contrast, most of the characteristics related to CES are variably expressed even in familial cases. A previous report presented a familial supernumerary ring chromosome 22 in which the affected proband had four copies of CESCR, whereas the unaffected father and grandfather had three copies. They suggested a threshold model that the presence of four rather than three copies of this region may increase the susceptibility of an individual to express the CES malformations [14]. However, several studies reported that patients with three or four copies of 22q11.1-q11.2 have common malformations of CES ranging from coloboma of the iris, anal atresia, and craniofacial defects, suggesting that partial trisomy is enough to show the various malformations of CES [7,15].

The correlations between karyotype and phenotype have been studied in patients with SMC(15)s. Patients with SMC(15)s containing additional copies of the proximal 15q imprinted Prader-Willi or Angelman syndrome critical regions have moderate to severe mental retardation. Parental origin studies revealed that the de novo SMC(15)s were of maternal origin, indicating that a severe mental retardation. Parental origin studies revealed that Willi or Angelman syndrome critical regions have moderate to containing additional copies of the proximal 15q imprinted Prader-Willi or Angelman syndrome critical regions have moderate to severe mental retardation. Parental origin studies revealed that the de novo SMC(15)s were of maternal origin, indicating that a severe mental retardation. Parental origin studies revealed that Willi or Angelman syndrome critical regions have moderate to containing additional copies of the proximal 15q imprinted Prader-Willi or Angelman syndrome critical regions have moderate to severe mental retardation. Parental origin studies revealed that the de novo SMC(15)s were of maternal origin, indicating that a severe mental retardation. Parental origin studies revealed that Willi or Angelman syndrome critical regions have moderate to containing additional copies of the proximal 15q imprinted Prada...
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