The history of geosciences has largely been interpreted as a history of male scientists; but the inclusion of their social frame into historical research makes clear how women in various roles have participated in and shaped the history of geology.

The paper illustrates this social history of women geologists with familiar graphical methods of geoscientists. It touches briefly on a long and little known prehistory, when geological knowledge was mingled with mythical and religious ideas or with craft-traditions of mining and alchemy. During the 18th century, women appeared as owners of natural history collections, some of which have become the nuclei of today's museum collections.

The beginning of geological research in a modern sense and thus the beginning of geological history occurred around 1800. In Germany, the early professionalisation of geology effectively precluded the collaboration of women, whereas a non-professional culture of natural sciences in Britain stimulated a local "Cambrian Explosion": Women appeared in great numbers as assistants to male relatives, as field geologists, collectors, taxonomists, and draughtswomen. The professionalisation in Britain during the second half of the 19th century led to the "extinction" of these early female scholars. With the opening of universities for female students the population of women geologists slowly increased again. The number of these professional female geoscientists was, and is, strongly modulated by local cultures of science and the socio-political environment.

Science seems to have been wholly conducted by men. There have been some exceptions, such as the physicists Marie Curie and Lise Meitner, the mathematicians Sophia Kovalewskaja and Ada Lovelace, and the astronomer Mary Somerville, to name a few. But they appear as exceptions through a selective mantle of female invisibility which has descended on the history of science. This cloak appears thickest and largest in geology and other earth sciences. This view changes, however, when the historiography of science does more than present lists of "famous men" together with their major achievements, but explores the historical, social and political frame-works within which scientific ideas have developed. Then a number of notable women appear, who contributed to geology (Figure 1). To explore the influence of culture, politics and history on the number of women geologists, we do not necessarily need sociological and philosophical language but may use some of the tools, icons and interpretative models familiar to earth scientists. For the purpose of this paper the reader is invited to regard female geologists as palaeontological taxa, subject to evolutionary changes. They may thrive under favourable conditions leading to radiations; or restrictive environments may lead to stagnation or decline of the population. There may even be the equivalent of mass extinction events (Figure 2).

In the early days of geology, we are talking about absolute numbers too small to examine by proper statistical means, especially since the preservation of archive material concerning the history of geology in general, and especially for female geologists, is fragmentary. There is no systematic rule according to which archive material perished or survived. Consequently, there is no way to assess quantitatively how many female geologists existed in a given time-span, not even if we can agree on how to define a "female geologist" in times when there was no formal training, or before the word "geology" came into general use. Nevertheless, one can get an impression of a part of the history of geosciences that is usually neglected.

From geological prehistory to history

Early ideas about the genesis of rocks and the functioning of geological phenomena stemmed from a mythical approach to natural phenomena. This approach was the usual one throughout the European Middle Ages, and is wonderfully illustrated by the writings of Hildegard von Bingen about the origin and purpose of rocks and minerals.

Hildegard von Bingen (1098–1179?), as a tenth child in her family dedicated to God, entered a nunnery at the age of seven and later became its abbess. Suffering from long phases of illness and while under strict cloister discipline with long exhausting fasts, Hildegard had visions, which, from 1141 onwards, she systematically recorded. Among these mythical scriptures is the Liber simplificatis medicinae, dealing with plants, animals, stones and metals and their use as medicines. Because today it is widely misused for esoteric purposes, the chapter on stones is—among non-historians—the best known example of a medieval lapidary. Lapidaries were popular short treatises on the genesis of rocks and minerals and their supposed medical and magic qualities (Adams, 1938, Ogilvie, 1986, Kölbl-Ebert, 1998).

Although before the 18th century there was little systematic geological science or research, alongside this mythical and philosophical approach there developed a second non-scientific tradition leading to the accumulation of geology-related knowledge derived from alchemical, mining, and quarrying traditions. Handling this knowledge was a craft skill. At a time when workshops were still located in people's homes, women often worked alongside their husbands, for example in assaying, or in the processing of ores as can be deduced, e.g., from the illustrations to Georgius Agricola's De Re Metallica (1556) (see Figure 2, bottom at centre).
Short biographies of those women not mentioned in detail in the text of this article:

**Geology’s Ancestor—Mining:** When Martine de Bertereau (ca. 1580–1645?) married the alchemist and mining engineer Jean du Châtelet, Baron de Beausoleil, she had “long been occupied with the art of mining that was hereditary in her family”. She wrote two pamphlets on mining addressed to the French king and Cardinal Richelieu. Between 1610 and 1626, Madame de Beausoleil and her husband visited ore mines throughout Europe and probably in Peru. In France, they were commissioned to prospect for new mineral deposits and to give advice at established mines. But in 1642, they were arrested under the pretext of witchcraft. The husband died in 1645 in the Bastille, and Martine and her daughter were sent to the prison of Vincennes, where they disappeared from history. (Gobet, 1769; Herzenberg, 1986; Kölbl-Ebert, 2001)

**“Seven Sisters” Side-tracking Male Universities:** Elizabeth Cary Agassiz (1822–1907), second wife of Swiss-American geologist Louis Agassiz, was one of the founders of the “Harvard Annex” in 1879, a women’s college whose teaching staff was initially recruited from the professors of Harvard University. In 1894, the college received the name of “Radcliffe College”. Elizabeth Agassiz served as its first President until 1899. Radcliffe is one of the “seven sisters”: seven women’s colleges that opened a path to higher education for women in the US: Mount Holyoke (1837), Vassar (1865), Smith and Wellesley (1875), Radcliffe (1879), and Bryn Mawr (1885). Here women could prove their intellectual abilities as students, graduates and as lecturers. Radcliffe and Bryn Mawr quickly produced distinguished female geologists (James et al., 1971).

**Missionary for Geology:** Luella Miner (1861–1935), U.S. American theologian with a considerable interest in geology, went to China in 1887, where she studied Chinese. From 1903 to 1913, she established the North China Union Women’s College in Beijing and assumed the position of Principal. She wrote a textbook of geology in two volumes for use in both middle and high schools, written in refined classical Chinese. Miner’s Geology (1910) was the first geological textbook published in China. Luella Miner was one of twenty-six founding members of the Geological Society of China, which was established in 1922 (Xia, 1990).
Educating the Second Generation: The US American petrologist Florence Bascom (1882–1945) was one of the pioneers when geological education at universities became available for women. She received her PhD degree from Johns Hopkins University in 1893 by special dispensation, since women were not admitted officially until 1907. She was appointed associate professor in geology at Ohio State University and in 1895 went to Bryn Mawr College. She also worked part-time for the USGS. As professor for geology at Bryn Mawr, she acted as teacher to numerous female geologists of the second generation (Ogilvie, 1986; Rossiter, 1992).

The Notorious Palaeobotanist - There Were More Important Issues than Geology: Marie Stopes (1880–1958) took first-class honours at University College, London and earned her doctorate in botany in 1904. She was the first female scientist on the faculty of the University of Manchester, where she lectured and researched as a palaeobotanist. She produced a catalogue of Cretaceous flora for the British Museum of Natural History.

Driven by the desolate experiences of her first marriage, she published in 1918 her best-seller Married Life, a “textbook” on human sexuality intended to ease the ignorance of her contemporaries on such matters as anatomy, fertility, birth-control and the sexual desires of men and women. As a result of this break of social conventions she gained immediate notoriety and suffered bitter discrimination, e.g. from the Catholic Church but also from her male colleagues (Gates, 1998).

Female Globe Trotter: Carlotta Joaquina Maury (1874–1938) received her geological education at Radcliffe College (USA). She was palaeontologist to A.C. Veatch’s geological expedition to Venezuela (1910–11), organized and conducted the Maury expedition to the Dominican Republic (1916), worked as consulting palaeontologist and stratigrapher for an oil company in Venezuela, and was official palaeontologist to Brazil (1914 II). Additionally, she was employed as lecturer and later as professor at various colleges and universities in North America and South Africa (Ogilvie, 1986).

Life-Long Struggle against Chauvinism: Alice Wilson (1881–1964) was one of the most distinguished members of the Canadian Geological Survey. Despite her fieldwork and numerous publications, promotion was denied because she had no doctorate. Her appeal for a leave of absence to acquire one was rejected for ten years, although such leaves of absence were routinely granted to men. After finally receiving half a year leave, on rather self-sacrificing conditions, she obtained the missing degree. Even then, she was not promoted, nor was her salary increased. Finally in 1940 she was promoted associate geologist and full professor in 1944, the year before her retirement. One can assume that a shortage of young male geologists during the war was the reason (Rossiter, 1982).

Deep Inside—Deep Insight: The Danish seismologist Inge Lehmann (1888–1993), who worked for the Danish Geodetic Institute from 1925 to 1952, suggested in 1936, from the analysis of P-wave data, that the earth must have an inner core: an important breakthrough in the understanding of the nature of the Earth’s interior. Lehmann also worked on the structure of the earth’s mantle (Bolt, 1987).

Among these women was a notable business woman, Barbara Uttmann (1512–1575), a relative of Agricola and pupil of Adam Riese, who lived in Annaberg (Saxony). She married the owner of several mines. After her husband’s death around 1550, she continued to supervise the mines and smelters, developing a profitable business. Barbara Uttmann also introduced the art of making bobbin-lace to Saxony, creating an important economic enterprise for the women of that region, who since the end of the 16th century had been gradually forced out of the craftsmen’s guilds (Kuhl, 1992).

Towards the end of the 18th century, science began to be professionalized especially in Germany and France, whereas concomitantly as a result of the onset of industrialisation family life was “privatized”. These processes promoted a rigid division of labour in the wealthier families, the man going forth into the “hostile world”, whereas the housewife stayed at home, attending to her duties concerning household and children. This divided the sphere of living for men and women more completely than had been the case in previous centuries. Only at the bottom of the social scale were the distinctive less marked, with women and children working like beasts of burden in coal mines and later in the factories of the early stages of the Industrial Revolution. They also continued as agricultural workers (Grubitsch, 1992).

On the other hand the social scale, women of the European aristocracy had more than ample time and some of them transformed boredom into curiosity about natural history rather than stitching tapestry. For such women, collecting minerals, fossils and other curiosities became a pleasurable leisure occupation. For example, Markgräfin Caroline Luise von Baden (1723–1783) built up an extensive natural-history collection, scientifically organized. To develop the collection which focused on minerals and fossils but included also some plants and stuffed animals, she corresponded with other sovereigns, scientists, collectors, and dealers. Her collection became the nucleus of the State Museum for Natural History in Karlsruhe (Trunck, 1985).
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Why?

Whereas the number of male geologists has increased more or less steadily since geology emerged as a science around the beginning of the 19th century, the much smaller number of female geologists was strongly modulated by social, political and historical factors. These factors become most obvious, when we compare two extreme "species" of the "genus" Woman-Geologist: *W-G. germanica* from Germany and *W-G. britannica* native to the United Kingdom.

![Graphical illustration of the number of female geologists in Germany and the United Kingdom throughout the last three centuries. Sources for figures: cartoons by Stauber & Liebermann, Owen’s lecture-cartoon from contemporary “Punch”, Göpel (1986), Hünzschel & Bußmann (1997), Kuhn (1992), Agricola (1556).](image)

*Figure 2* Graphical illustration of the number of female geologists in Germany and the United Kingdom throughout the last three centuries. Sources for figures: cartoons by Stauber & Liebermann, Owen’s lecture-cartoon from contemporary “Punch”, Göpel (1986), Hünzschel & Bußmann (1997), Kuhn (1992), Agricola (1556).
From the "British explosion" to the impact of professionalization

In the early 19th century in the United Kingdom much of geology was not yet professionally organized, but outside industrial geoscience remained a private interest for people with sufficient money, time and leisure to study, travel and publish. In these informal, non-professional surroundings, British women were not yet rivals in the competition for jobs, and under such circumstances were welcome — within the restrictions of the social order — as fellow-enthusiasts, often working for their husbands or brothers, but also for non-relatives. Many of them formed networks of assistants, collectors, illustrators, editors, field geologists, taxonomists and travel-companions to the leading figures in the geological sciences, thereby adding to and shaping their work (Kölbl-Ebert, in press).

Well before her marriage, Mary Morland (1797–1857) who eventually married the palaeontologist and Oxford don William Buckland had made a name as a scientific draughtswoman, contributing to the work of Conybeare and Cuvier. After her marriage, she continued to do geological work, accompanying her husband on field trips, making observations, illustrations and models, and assisting in the collection of specimens and the preparation of papers. All this though she gave birth to nine children (Kölbl-Ebert, 1997a).

Mary Morland was no exception in her time: Charlotte Murchison (1788–1869) conducted geological field work with her husband Roderick Murchison in the United Kingdom and on the Continent. The Murchisons achieved an effective division of labour, which made her husband one of the most productive geologists of his time. Charlotte’s task was fossil-hunting and sketching of outcrops and landscapes. She also helped to prepare her husband’s publications. Many of her illustrations appeared in her husband’s papers and books (Kölbl-Ebert, 1997b).

In the common way of presenting history of science as succession of ideas arrived at by individuals, such collaborations and interdependencies are largely ignored and thus the work of two or more is attributed to one.

Although palaeontology and stratigraphy were the primary spheres of the early British women geologists, they were by no means restricted to these topics. In November 1822, part of the Chilian Coast was devastated by an intense earthquake. The report of this geological phenomenon by Maria Graham (1785–1842) was one of the earliest geologically meaningful descriptions of such an event. It gave rise to a vituperative debate at the Geological Society of London about earthquakes and their role in mountain building. Graham’s account was entirely reasonable from a present-day view, but she became trapped between the millstones of two conflicting theories, neither of which was correct (Kölbl-Ebert, 1999).

Maybe the best-known woman palaeontologist of the early 19th century is Mary Anning (1799–1847), who practised geology for a living, and thus might be regarded as the first female professional in this business. Mary Anning, fossil dealer of Lyme Regis (Dorset, U.K.), provided palaeontology with numerous well-prepared and scientifically valuable specimens from the Blue Lias around her native town, among which were the first ichthyosaurs to be described, the first complete plesiosaurs ever found and the first British pterosaur, not to mention fish and countless invertebrate fossils. Mary Anning was no mere treasure hunter, but an adept businesswoman, she took her time to observe closely and worked as informant and field geologist to many palaeontologists such as William Buckland, William Conybeare and Henry De la Beche. Her observations confirmed the origin of certain coprolites and solved questions concerning the mode of life of fossil Jurassic crinoids (Taylor & Torrens, 1987; Torrens, 1995, and references therein).

A very small number of the early British female geologists who worked as private scholars for their own “fame”. They were usually from the aristocracy and financially independent. The most notable of these lady geologists was Etheldred Benett (1775–1845), who spent much time on fossil collecting and stratigraphical work, which led to one of the earliest detailed quarry sections published in England. Many of her specimens are depicted in Sowerby’s *Mineral Conchology of Great Britain* (1812 ff.). She contributed stratigraphical information to Greenough’s *Geological Map of England and Wales* and published a stratigraphically arranged catalogue of the specimens in her collection, including many new species (Benett, 1831). Her name appears frequently in the list of donations to the Geological Society. She also presented fossils to individual geologists and to museums, among them one in St Petersburg. The Tsar (assuming Etheldred must be male) had the University of St Petersburg award her an honorary diploma (Creese & Creese 1994, Torrens 1985, Spamer et al. 1989, Torrens et al. 2000).

However, the women of the early 19th century depended on help from male relatives for their geological work, since they had difficulties in visiting public lectures or libraries without chaperones (Somerville, 1873). Nevertheless, some sort of geological education was available to women in addition to books and private tuition. In 1831, Charles Lyell, “the father of geology” wrote in *On the Connexion of Physical Sciences and Physical Geography* included geological knowledge. The books were best-sellers, and they were constantly revised in numerous editions which kept them at the very frontier of scientific research. In 1866, the progressive philosopher John Stuart Mill initiated a petition for women’s right to vote. Mary Somerville was the first of 1500 subscribers (Somerville, 1873). But the right to vote for British women was not granted until 1918 (see Table 1).

However, the relatively favourable conditions for female co-workers in the United Kingdom lasted only until geology gained in intellectual and social status and became recognised in universities during the second half of the 19th century. The foundation of the Geological Survey in 1835 was a turning point that was largely excluded notably from the Geological Survey and from the brotherhood of mining engineers, since the opening of British universities to women was still in its infancy. As professionalisation developed, female co-workers and lady geologists became a declining species, along with the gentlemen geologists.

The stagnant pool of Germany

Whereas throughout the first half of the 19th century, British geology had experienced a modest radiation among women geologists leading to numerous endemic opportunities in the United Kingdom, matters were quite different in the German states. Female access was denied, and German professors remained in splendid male isolation.

In German States and neighbouring countries geology had developed from a technical, mineralogical tradition, which stemmed...
from studying in Switzerland. In 1900, Baden was the first German state to admit women to universities (Costas 1997, Rupp 1978).

### University education triggers a new radiation

British legislation gave women the right to university education in 1876. Though not formally admitted to degrees of the Universities of Oxford and Cambridge, women did apply and were able to sit the entrance examinations. Colleges for women were established at these two Universities from 1865 and 1869 onwards, and female students could sit the university examinations, but actual degrees were not formally awarded before the next century: in 1920 at Oxford and only in 1948 at Cambridge. The 'Red Brick' or municipal universities in Britain, founded as colleges in industrial cities, mainly in the 1880s, admitted women as undergraduates as a matter of course. By the last decades of the 19th century, women in Britain could get a science education that included geology. Bedford College, London, specially catered for female students (Alic, 1986; B. Hamilton, pers. comm.).

A notable pioneer woman geologist with university training was Catherine Raisin (1855–1945). She read geology, botany and zoology at University College London. After graduating in 1884, she continued to work at UCL as a voluntary assistant to the well-known palaeontologist T.G. Bonney and they co-authored some important papers on Welsh geology. In 1890, she became head of the Geology Department at Bedford College for Women and later was also in charge of its Botany Department. In 1893, she was the first woman to receive the Lyell Fund of the Geological Society, but was not allowed to accept the award in person since women were not admitted to the Society's meetings before 1919. Raisin researched mostly in palaeoentology and mineralogy, and was an excellent microscopist. Working in South Devon in the late 1880s, she was one of the first geologists to map different metamorphic facies (Creese & Creese, 1994).

Among those early, university-educated women geologists were also e.g. Gertrude Elles and Ethel Wood. Trained at Cambridge, Gertrude Elles (1872–1960) worked heroically on the preparation of British Graptolites, a monograph that was produced over two decades (1901–1914) under the editorship of Charles Lapworth (Birmingham). Elles wrote the descriptive texts and her friend Ethel Wood (1871–1946), also a student at Cambridge, prepared the illustrations. They gave detailed descriptions of virtually every species of this previously confused group of fossils, and the monograph remained the standard work on graptolites for decades. Elles also worked on Lower Palaeozoic stratigraphy and on metamorphic rocks in Scotland. She taught geology at Cambridge for many years, supervising male students, but for the first 30 years of her teaching career remained without official university position. She belatedly received a Cambridge ScD in 1949 (Rickards, 1999).

Matters for these women were certainly not easy, since they were regarded often as unwelcome intruders in the competition for jobs. "As a general rule, the scientific woman must be strong enough to stand alone, able to bear the often unjust sarcasm and dislike of men who are jealous of seeing what they consider their own field invaded" (Henrietta Bolton, 1898, in Popular Scientific Monthly).

There was a general tendency for those women who did enter geology, to specialise in palaeontology rather than petrology. A reason might have been that palaeontology until well into the 20th century did not need the laboratories or instruments that were only available in universities and other research institutions. Palaeontology could be done to a large extent in isolation. Sometimes, women were encouraged to specialise in micropalaeontology, because this was considered "no occupation for a man" (pers. comm. Prof. Trümpy, Zurich).

Another typical occupation for women was to be employed as "computers", i.e. people who—as assistant to a scientist—organised
and computed data. One of them was, e.g., U. S. American geologist and oceanographer Marie Tharp, who as assistant to Bruce Heezen compiled his echolocation data into topographic maps of the ocean floors visualizing the geological structure of the oceanic crust with Mid-Ocean Ridges, Seamounts and Trenches (Barton, unpubl. manuscript, 2000). However, with the development of modern computer technology, the human computers lost their important function.

In the late 1940s and 1950s, a disproportionately high number of women geologists joined the Water Department of the British Geological Survey, which was seen as the only opportunity for women to conduct field work (Plant et al., 1994).

In Germany, the admission of women to universities and academic professions started some 30 to 50 years later than in the USA, the United Kingdom, France, and other countries (see Table 2), and to this day the female university teacher remains the exception in Germany (Costas, 1997).

At many German universities the first women to receive PhDs were foreign students who came to study in Germany having already completed their studies in their home countries. They were important in smoothing the path for German women, by demonstrating that women are capable of scientific work of the highest quality. On July 25th, 1900, British palaeontologist and Alpine geologist Maria Mathilda Ogilvie-Gordon (1864–1939) was among the first two females to receive a doctorate from the University of Munich, where she studied for four years, by special permission, under Karl von Zittel (Creese, 1996; Meister, 1997).

Maria Ogilvie-Gordon also received in London the first DSc (1893) in geology awarded to a woman in the United Kingdom. Her life-long distinguished and much awarded, but always unpaid career in geology was concerned with the tectonically complex structure of South Tyrol, involving arduous fieldwork in difficult terrain, and with fossil and recent corals. Her publications were substantial, many appearing in Austrian journals. In 1895 she married and subsequently had three children. She also pursued an important second career as a civic and social leader concerned with the advancement of women and the welfare of children and young people (Creese, 1996). In history of geology, she is well-known for her English translation of Zittel's History of Geology and Palaeontology.

### The early 20th Century: transgression and regression

Both World Wars, when many young male geologists had to go to the fronts, saw a small boom for women geologists in Germany, Britain, and elsewhere. But with the end of the wars, professional women geologists, and also female students, again had to depart in order to make room for the returning men. Women not willing to do so were abused as war profiteers. For example, Tekla Hoyermann was assistant professor in geology from 1914 to 1918, but then lost this position. Thus she was the only female geologist employed on the academic staff at the University of Tübingen until the 1990s (Engelhardt & Hölder, 1977). Likewise, the British Geological Survey hired women during World War II to help the survey's war effort. A female member of the staff, Miss Eileen M. Gruppy, was promoted in 1943 and reverted again after the war, because it was deemed that she had fulfilled her wartime role (Plant et al., 1994).

After World War I, only around 10% of the science students in Germany were female, partly because the difficult economic situation led families to send their sons rather than their daughters to universities. By the early 1930s the percentage of women among students of natural sciences had risen to about 20%. The University of Munich was especially favoured by women students. Here nearly 29% of the science students were female, most of them endeavouring to become chemists. With the beginning of a worldwide economic crisis in 1929 female students encountered increasing opposition. Because of a high rate of unemployment among academics the pressure was especially high on so-called Doppelverdiener ("dou-

### Table 2 Higher education for women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1840</td>
<td>first women admitted as guests at the University of Zurich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td>first women's college in Philadelphia (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1863</td>
<td>Paris (France): all subjects with the exception of theology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1865</td>
<td>first women's college at the University of Oxford (women not admitted to university degrees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867</td>
<td>University of Zurich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1869</td>
<td>first women's college at the University of Cambridge (women not admitted to university degrees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1871</td>
<td>Finland upon request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1872</td>
<td>Russia: women were allowed to study medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876</td>
<td>United Kingdom, Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1879</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1883</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1888</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892</td>
<td>first female student with special permission at the University of Tübingen (Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1893</td>
<td>Turkey acknowledges female physicians from abroad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896</td>
<td>Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897</td>
<td>Poland, Austria partly, a few women as guests at the University of Tübingen (Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Baden (Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td>USA: all universities open to women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>Finland generally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>Bavaria (Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>Württemberg (Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1908</td>
<td>Prussia (Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td>women admitted to degrees at Oxford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948</td>
<td>women admitted to degrees at Cambridge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sources:

ble-earners"), i.e. couples where both husband and wife occupied academic jobs. From May 30th, 1932 onwards, a new law allowed the dismissal of tenured female state employees, if they were supported by a husband (Nagler-Springmann, 1995).

However, discrimination against married female scientists was no speciality of Germany. In the 1920s, when the British Geological Survey started to recruit women geologists, it was stated in the advertisements that female candidates "must be unmarried or widowed and will be required to resign their appointments on marriage" (Plant et al., 1994).

Women were not established as a natural part of the German scientific system when the Nazis came to power in 1933. During the following years, about half of the some 70 women with Habilitation (i.e. qualified for a professorship) were expelled on racist or political grounds, forced into exile, deported, or murdered; along with many other female scientists or students (Costas 1997, p. 34).

A successful fugitive was Tilly Edinger (1897–1967), but who knows how many failed? Edinger started her career as a curator for vertebrate palaeontology at the Senckenberg Museum in Frankfurt am Main. She worked on fossil brains, creating a new branch of science: Palaeo-neurology. But because of her Jewish descent she had
to resign her position in 1938, and two years later fled from Germany under great difficulties. Via England she emigrated to the USA, where she eventually found employment at the Museum for Comparative Zoology at Harvard (Hofer, 1969).

The campaign against Doppelverdiener continued, and Nazi-ideology encouraged unmarried women to pursue careers that were deemed seemly for the female way of life. These did not include science and technology. However, this was only achieved in practise where the German state from the central government down to the communities functioned as the employer, e.g. in universities or in geological surveys. Married women lost their jobs, and the remaining spinsters had to settle for less money than their male colleagues. Promotion for women was denied, and women in higher positions were downgraded. In 1933, a quota of 10% for new female students was introduced. Although this law was rescinded two years later, until 1939 the number of female students dropped by about two thirds. Additionally the ideological role model had a considerable influence on the choice of subjects. In 1938, only 7.6% of sciences students were female. During World War II, however, the percentage of female students increased dramatically. Young men had to become soldiers instead of students, and women were again sought as scientists and technicians to replace the men, who went to the fronts. By 1943/44 the percentage of women among science students rose to more than 60%. Even so, this did not lead to a lasting change in the ideological role model for women. The war effort was simply regarded as a temporary state of affair. When the men returned to the universities, the percentage of female science students in Munich dropped to 28% in 1946. This downward trend continued until 1957, when the number reached 15% (Nagler-Springmann, 1995). Female scientists continually had to fight against male prejudice. In the 1950s more than one third of West German professors thought that women were incapable of following a scientific career (Costas, 1997, p. 34). It took until the late 1970s for the percentage of female science students in West Germany to rise to the level it had had in the early 1930s (Nagler-Springmann, 1995).

From 1960 onwards, the invention of hormonal contraceptives enabled women to effectively control their fertility and achieve a more planned reconciliation of career and family; an achievement, which can hardly be overestimated. An increased awareness of discrimination against women in Western society, made from the late 1970s onwards, first steps possible towards an equal opportunity legislation, which eventually—in the 1990s—showed effect in Britain. In Germany of the 1990s, however, there were continuing signs of stagnation (compare Figure 3), which made Londa Schiebinger suggest that the "Federal Republic of Germany has the distinction of being one of the worst possible places for academic women" (Schiebinger, 1999).

In the former German Democratic Republic (East Germany), the employment of women was generally accepted and officially welcomed. Thus in the late 1980s, about 90% of women of employable age were in the workforce, compared to some 55% in West Germany. The planned economy of East Germany directed students’ university subjects, as a result of which there were 46% female science students in 1989 compared to only 35% in West Germany. However, an inquiry conducted in 1985, showed that 35–38% of female students in engineering, sciences and economics initially wanted to study some other subject, and would have done so had they been allowed to. As in the West, women were only a small minority in higher positions. For example, in 1983 we find that 35% of the students at the Technical University of Dresden, 27.5% of the untenured Assistenten (comparable to assistant professors), between 26.5 and 13.7% of the tenured staff in the middle ranks, 4.8% of the professors, and only 1.9% of the professors holding chairs were female. After German reunification in 1990, the staff of eastern universities was reduced, with the introduction of new structures. Women were particularly affected by the staff reductions. Thus from 1989/90 to 1996 the proportion of women in the middle ranks at the eastern universities declined from 38.5% to 32.7% (averaged over all subjects—no specific data on geology are available) (Wissenschafrat, 1998, A.IV.3.).

From 1993 onwards, the data compiled in Table 3 include the territory of the former German Democratic Republic. However, there is no break in the trends shown in Figure 3. Either the statistical situation of female geologists in the East German universities has been similar to that in the West, an assumption which contradicts the personal impression of eastern colleagues (per. comm., Peter Krüger).
and Annette Vogt, Berlin), or the brief period of three years was sufficient to "westernize" the proportions of men and women geologists.

The last two decades: women geologists still living in the ice-age

German universities are organised strictly hierarchically, and as in most hierarchies the higher the position is, the less women we find. This becomes immediately clear from the statistical data for geology of the last two decades (Table 3, Figure 3; data courtesy Statistisches Bundesamt, Germany). The proportion of female geology students has risen steadily since around 1987 and reached 35% in 1998. Also the female doctorate candidates show an increasing trend, albeit not as steady as that for undergraduate students. The proportion of female scientific staff members of the geology departments of German universities also nearly doubled from 8.8% in 1982 to 16.2% in 1993, only to remain stagnant on that level, although an ever increasing number of qualified women geologists (with doctorate degrees or Habilitation) became available. Since most of the positions which sum up to those figures are temporary, there is no way of arguing that no geology positions were available on the job market. At the upper end of the hierarchy, the proportion of female geology professors remained at an extremely low level during the last two decades of the 20th century, and it remains to be seen, whether the slight increase within the last two years from 1.7 to 2.8% is going to establish a positive trend.

Considering these figures, female geologists in Germany are clearly less fortunate than their colleagues from other subjects, since in 1995 on the average 9.5% of Germany's professors were female. This figure has risen from 7.5% in 1995 for all German professorships. Even if we regard science only, the situation in geology is decidedly below average: In 1995 3.4% of the science professors were female; geology and physics/astronomy marking the bottom end of the statistics with 1.5 and 1.4% female professors respectively. This was worse even than e.g. catholic theology or mathematics, both of which in 1995 had a proportion of 3.4% female professors (Source: Statistisches Bundesamt, FRG).

Notwithstanding the many achievements in recent years, the present culture of the geosciences at least in Germany is not obviously welcoming to women. "Discouraging or discriminating remarks (see Figure 1), which many German women geologists can recount from personal experience represent only the tip of an iceberg, most of which is submerged in the subconscious of the "malefactors". Therefore it is hardly astonishing that many would-be employers or colleagues of women geologists are unaware of a discriminating attitude. They often act out of good-willing, paternalistic concern: "No, we cannot give the job to this woman. She has children and how should she manage." — "She is too young and tender, the strain of the field work would be too much for her." — "She wouldn't like the rough treatment by the workers on the building-site." — "She'll be unhappy because she'll not be able to carry her point." — "She is married therefore she cannot move house, and if she has to drive to work such a long way every day, she won't stand it for long."— And many a professor patronizingly explains to would-be female PhD candidates that careers and children do not go together (all examples courtesy members of the Commission for Equal Opportunity at a German university, collected during the 1990s; see also Wis- senschaftsrat 1998, A.IV.1.).

Also, Equal Opportunity Legislation achieves little, when people have learned to discriminate in more subtle ways: Qualifications are minimised or even ignored. The female applicant is either too young or too old, or her vita does not exactly 'fit the team'. Women who succeed in spite of all the difficulties may be denigrated as "quota-females". Under such circumstances, equal opportunity is still 'work in progress'.

As late as 1989, an old miner's prejudice struck female geology students. A student excursion of the University of Tübingen to the coal mine at Ibbenbüren had to be cancelled because the mining company, who worked the mine at that time, would not allow women underground.
It is difficult to compare the German statistical figures with those available for the United Kingdom because of the different organisation of British universities and university degrees, and also because available official statistics do not give numbers for geology staff only. Therefore, it was necessary to draw on the Graduate Geological Scientists Survey compiled by Andrew Bottomley (years 1990 to 1994) and Bob Ward (years 1985 to 1990, 1995 to 1998) for the Geological Society of London, which gives representative data on the employment situation for geologists who made their degrees in the respective years (see Table 4, Figure 4).

The proportion of British female first degree holders (33.7% in 1998) is slightly smaller but corresponds closely to the proportion of females among German geology students (35% in 1998). However, for the doctoral candidates in 1998, the United Kingdom with 26.4% women, ranked ahead of Germany with 22.4% females. Nevertheless, between 1985 and 1990, women constituted only between 4.9 to 6.6% of academic staff in geology at universities of the United Kingdom (9.7 to 13.2% in Germany during the same period. However, if we consider permanent positions only, the German figures drop to 3.6% tenured women in 1998). In Britain, only one single woman, Janet Watson, was professor of geology between 1974 and her death in 1985 (Ward 1992). On the other hand, the proportion of women geologists in the British Geological Survey reached 16.5% in 1994 (Plant et al., 1994), a figure comparable to the situation at German universities, which had 16.2% of female geoscientists at that time.

In the years between 1983 and 1991, male geology graduates in Britain were more likely then their female colleagues to obtain jobs as geologists. In 1983, male graduates were more than twice as likely as female graduates to find employment as geoscientists. By 1991, this disparity between the sexes had nearly vanished. The observed disparity was basically due to the employment policies of the biggest recruiters of geologists in the United Kingdom, i.e. the oil companies and their contractors (an industry negligible in Germany), which offered between a third and half of the available jobs in those years. In 1984, men were over four and a half times more likely than women to find employment in this sector. In the same year, the British Equal Opportunity Commission lamented a widespread discrimination against women in the British oil industry. In other areas of geological employment, among others the universities, a survey of the years between 1983 and 1991 showed no significant differences in the fortunes of the two sexes (Ward, 1993). This can be seen also from the more recent data (see Table 4). Female geological staff at British universities is currently recruited in about the same proportion as it is available on the job market, leading to a steady increase of the proportion of women, which will eventually reach a level of equilibrium corresponding approximately to the proportion of women among geology students. A brief survey of web-pages of British geology departments showed that at present an average of about 14% of the geological staff are female. While thus the neglect of equal opportunity affairs during earlier decades still shows up in British statistics, the young female geoscientist of the end-1990s had an approximately equal chance to the academic job market; unlike their German colleagues, whose ever increasing numbers of qualified geologists did not lead to a corresponding increase of the proportion of female academic staff.

By the way: 19% of the participants of the 31st International Geological Congress (Rio de Janeiro, 2000) were female (information courtesy 31st IGC Scientific Committee).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>first degrees</td>
<td></td>
<td>909</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>1068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>women</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>percentage</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>women</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>percentage</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>percentage</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>women</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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