Evaluation of Treatment Response after Endoscopic Variceal Obturation with Abdominal Computed Tomography
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Backgrounds/Aims: Rebleeding of gastric varices (GVs) after endoscopic variceal obturation (EVO) can be fatal. This study was performed to evaluate the usefulness of computed tomography (CT) for the prediction of rebleeding after EVO GV bleeding. Methods: Patients who were treated with EVO for GV bleeding and underwent CT before and after EVO were included. CT images of the portal phase showing pretreatment GVs and feeding vessels, and nonenhanced images showing posttreatment cyanoacrylate impaction were reviewed. Results: Fifty-three patients were included. Their mean age was 60.6±11.6 years, and 40 patients (75.5%) were men. Alcoholic liver disease was the most frequent underlying liver disease (45.3%). Complete impaction of cyanoacrylate in GVs and feeding vessels were achieved in 40 (75.5%) and 24 (45.3%) of patients, respectively. During the follow-up, GV rebleeding occurred in nine patients, and the cumulative incidences of GV rebleeding at 3, 6, and 12 months were 11.8%, 18.9%, and 18.9%, respectively. The GV rebleeding rate did not differ significantly according to the complete cyanoacrylate impaction in the GV, while it differed significantly according to complete cyanoacrylate impaction in the feeding vessels. The cumulative incidences of GV rebleeding at 3, 6, and 12 months were 22.3%, 35.2%, and 35.2%, respectively, in patients with incomplete impaction in feeding vessels, and there was no rebleeding during the follow-up period in patients with complete impaction in the feeding vessels (p=0.002). Conclusions: Abdominal CT is useful in the evaluation of the treatment response after EVO for GV bleeding. Incomplete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels is a risk factor for GV rebleeding. (Gut Liver, Published online June 28, 2019)
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INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal varices (GEVs) are common complication of liver cirrhosis¹ and bleeding from GEVs is a leading cause of mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis.²,³ Gastric varices (GVs) are present in 22% to 25% of patients with liver cirrhosis.⁴,⁵ Although bleeding from GVs occurs less frequently than from esophageal varices (EVs), bleeding from GVs shows a poorer prognosis with higher rebleeding and mortality rates.⁶,⁷ Current guidelines recommend endoscopic band ligation (EBL) as a treatment of choice for the management of bleeding and prevention of rebleeding from EVs.⁸,⁹ However, because of the differences in the depth of underlying submucosal layer over varices, size of varices, and surrounding environment, such as continuous exposure to gastric acid and peristalsis of GVs, EBL is insufficient for the management of bleeding from GVs.¹⁰ Also, several RCTs¹¹-¹³ and meta-analyses¹⁴ suggested that endoscopic variceal obturation (EVO) showed significantly higher hemostasis rate and lower rebleeding rate than EBL. Therefore, current guidelines recommend EVO as a treatment choice for the management of bleeding from GVs.¹⁵ However, high incidence of rebleeding from GVs after EVO remains a problem. In previous studies, the rebleeding rate after EVO ranges from 7% to 41%.¹⁶-²⁰

Although several previous studies suggested the predictive
factors for rebleeding after EVO, such as liver function as expressed in the Child–Pugh class or Model for End-Stage Liver Disease score, accompanying hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and size of GVs, their results showed discrepancy. Some studies suggested that liver function is the significant predictor for rebleeding, while others did not. Similarly, GV size was the significant predictor for rebleeding in one study, while it was not associated with rebleeding in another study. In addition, although several studies suggested that accompanying HCC was significantly associated with rebleeding, the presence of malignancy was not related with rebleeding in another study.

Meanwhile, thanks to the recent improvement of computed tomography (CT), excellent visualization of GV as well as its feeding vessels has become available. Because various types of feeding vessels of GVs could be related to the different hemodynamics and treatment responses of GVs, detailed evaluation of GV and its feeding vessels by CT anatomy would give important information about prognosis after EVO. However, no studies have evaluated the degree of cyanoacrylate impaction in the GVs and feeding vessels.

We hypothesized that compact cyanoacrylate impaction in GV and its feeding vessels could be associated with recurrence and rebleeding of GV after EVO. Assessment of the effect of EVO on GVs and its feeding vessels not only by endoscopic findings, but also by CT images may improve prediction of recurrence and rebleeding of GVs after EVO. On the basis of this background, our study was performed to assess the usefulness of CT in evaluation of pretreatment hemodynamic features and treatment response after EVO to predict prognosis of GVs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patients

Consecutive patients with GV bleeding who were treated with EVO between January 2006 and December 2016 and performed CT before and after EVO were included in this study. Patients who did not perform CT before and after EVO (n=38), those with a previous history of endoscopic treatment for bleeding from GVs (n=4) and those with accompanying portal vein invasion by HCC (n=9) or other malignancy (n=7) were excluded. In addition, patients with isolated GV type 2 (IGV2) were also excluded because the number was too small for analysis (n=2).

Liver cirrhosis was diagnosed based on histology and/or imaging studies. The study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Korea University Medical Center (IRB No. 2017AN0344). A waiver of consent was obtained and the patient records were anonymized and de-identified prior to analysis.

2. Data collection

Patient data regarding age, sex, and underlying liver disease (chronic hepatitis B, chronic hepatitis C, alcoholic liver disease, and others) were collected during hospitalization. The Child–Pugh score was determined by applying Pugh’s commonly used modification, which is based on the presence and severity of ascites and hepatic encephalopathy, prothrombin time prolongation, and serum bilirubin and albumin levels. Follow-up data on rebleeding and mortality were collected until 1 year after enrollment. Rebleeding was defined as any occurrence of hematemesis or a decrease in the hemoglobin level, with fresh melena after the successful control of the initial bleeding.

3. Classification of GVs

GVs were classified according to the Sarin’s classification of GVs as follows: GVs are divided into GOV and IGV according to the association of GVs with EVs. GOV and IGV are divided into GOV1/GOV2 and IGV1/IGV2, respectively, according to their location within the stomach. GOV1 appears as continuations of EVs and extend for 2 to 5 cm below the gastroesophageal junction, along the lesser curvature of the stomach, while GOV2 extend below gastroesophageal junction into the fundus of the stomach. IGV1 is located in the fundus of the stomach and IGV2 is located anywhere in the stomach or intestine as isolated ectopic varices. GOV2 and IGV1 are also called as fundal varices.

4. Endoscopic treatment

In Korea University Medical Center, we perform esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) in 6 hours if acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding is suspected. When the evidence of active or recent (e.g., stigmata) variceal bleeding is detected in the EGD, we ask for specialist endoscopic treatment or balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration in 1 hour, depending on the decision of the hepatologists. Vasoactive drugs, such as terlipressin or somatostatin, are used before diagnostic endoscopy.

EVO was performed using an Olympus Q-260, H-260, and HQ-290 video endoscope (Olympus Optical Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and a 21-gauge disposable injection needle (Injekionsnadel mit Metallspitze; MTW Endoskopie Manufaktur, Wesel, Germany). The injection needle was rinsed with distilled water before injections. The GVs were punctured and 1–2 mL of the mixture of n-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (Histoacryl L®; Braun Surgical SA, Rubi, Spain) and Lipiodol Ultra-Fluide (Guerbet, Bois Cedex, France) in the ratio of 1 to 1 was injected, immediately followed by a second injection of 1.0 mL of distilled water to flush the remaining mixture from the catheter. The needle was then withdrawn, followed by flushing of the needle with distilled water to keep it patent. During each session, 1 to 5 mL of mixture was used. After each procedure, the endoscopist checked for the effectiveness of the injections by gentle palpation of the
treated varices with a blunt catheter. A hard feeling of the varices on palpation was considered a sign of effectively obliterated varices. Endoscopy was repeated 1 to 3 days after the initial injection to further confirm complete obliteration. If necessary, injections were repeated. Follow-up endoscopies were done 4 weeks later and then at 3-month intervals. EVO was repeated until obliteration of the GVs was achieved.

5. Treatment evaluation with abdominal CT

Feeding vessels were classified into three groups as follows: feeding via left or right gastric vein, left gastric vein (right sided feeding vessels, RFV); via short or posterior gastric vein, short gastric vein (left sided feeding vessels, LFV); and via both RFV and LFV, both gastric veins (both sided feeding vessels, BFV) (Fig. 1). Due to a small number of patients with BFV (n=7), they were classified as LFV.

CT response after EVO was evaluated by one radiologist (S.B.C.) who was unaware of the results of endoscopy and follow-up data. CT images of portal phase showing pretreatment GVs and feeding vessels, and non-enhanced images showing posttreatment cyanoacrylate impaction were reviewed. CT scans within 3 months before EVO were used as pretreatment images, and posttreatment CT scans were obtained within 3 days after the EVO.

Complete impaction was defined as the compaction of histocryl within the entire lumen of varices or feeding vessels without normal vasculature, shown in portal phase of CT image. CT responses were determined according to the degree of cyanoacrylate impaction in GVs and feeding vessels and patients were classified into four groups as follows: CT-response group (CTrG) 1, complete cyanoacrylate impaction in both GV and

![Fig. 1](image1.png) Vascular anatomy of portal and systemic venous pathways potentially involved in gastric varices. LGV, left gastric vein; PGV, posterior gastric vein; SGV, short gastric vein.

![Fig. 2](image2.png) Axial computed tomography (CT) images of various treatment responses after endoscopic variceal obturation (EVO) in patients with gastric variceal bleeding. Pretreatment portal phase dynamic CT image of liver (A, C). Posttreatment nonenhanced CT image shows complete (B) and incomplete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels (arrows) (D). Portal phase image shows gastric varices (GVs) (arrows) before EVO (E, G), and nonenhanced CT image shows GVs after EVO with complete (F) and incomplete cyanoacrylate impaction (H).
feeding vessels; CTrG2, complete impaction in feeding vessels and incomplete impaction in GV; CTrG3, complete impaction in GV and incomplete impaction in feeding vessels; and CTrG4, incomplete impaction in both GV and feeding vessels. CT images revealing various treatment response of EVO in GV and feeding vessels are shown in Fig. 2.

6. Definition of rebleeding

We used Baveno V definitions and criteria. We defined as clinically significant re-bleeding from portal hypertensive sources 5 days after EVO. Clinically significant rebleeding was defined as recurrent melena or hematemesis resulting in any of the following: hospital admission, blood transfusion, 3 g drop in hemoglobin, or death within 6 weeks.

7. Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Data were expressed as mean±standard deviation or number (%). The Mann-Whitney U test and chi-square test were used to compare continuous and categorical variables, respectively. The cumulative rebleeding and mortality rates were determined by the Kaplan-Meier method, and the difference between groups was determined by the log-rank test. All tests were two-tailed, and p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

1. Baseline characteristics

A total of 53 patients who were treated with EVO for GV bleeding were included. Table 1 represents the baseline characteristics of all included patients. Mean age was 60.6±11.6 years and 40 patients (75.5%) were men. Alcoholic liver disease was the most frequent underlying liver disease (24 patients, 45.3%). Child-Pugh score was 7.6±1.9 and HCC were accompanied in 15 patients (28.3%). Patients were followed for 8.9±4.1 months after EVO (median, 12 months).

2. Types of GV and feeding and draining vessels

The types of GV were GOV1, GOV2, and IGV1 in 17 (32.1%), 17 (32.1%), and 19 patients (35.8%), respectively. The types of feeding vessel were RFV and LFV in 21 (39.6%) and 32 patients (60.4%), respectively. The types of feeding vessel differed significantly according to the type of GV as follows (p<0.001): the feeding vessel was RFV in all patients with GOV1, RFV and LFV in 4 (23.5%) and 13 patients (76.5%), respectively, in GOV2, and it was LFV in all patients with IGV1.

3. Treatment response in the abdominal CT after endoscopic treatment

Complete impaction of cyanoacrylate in GV and in the feeding vessels was achieved in 40 (75.5%) and 24 of patients (45.3%), respectively. The success rates of complete cyanoacrylate in GV (88.2%, 58.8%, and 78.9% in patients with GOV1, GOV2, and IGV1, respectively, p=0.125) and the feeding vessels (35.3%, 41.2%, and 57.9% in patients with GOV1, GOV2, and IGV1, respectively, p=0.364) were comparable among the three types of GV.

Although the success rates of complete cyanoacrylate impaction in GV was comparable in patients with GVs fed via RFV and those with GVs fed via LFV (85.7% vs 68.8%, p=0.160), the success rates of complete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels was significantly lower in patients with GVs fed via RFV than in those with GVs fed via LFV (28.6% vs 56.2%, p=0.048).

Among the patients, 19 (35.8%), 5 (9.4%), 21 (39.6%), and eight patients (15.1%) were classified into CTrG1, CTrG2, CTrG3, and CTrG4, respectively. The proportions of patients in CT responses did not differ according to the types of GVs (p=0.359) and the feeding vessels (p=0.089) (Fig. 3). Three patients had mild fever after EVO, and no other complications were observed.

4. GV rebleeding

During follow-up, GV rebleeding occurred in nine patients and the cumulative incidences of GV rebleeding at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months were 1.9%, 11.8%, 18.9%, and 18.9%, respectively. These were 0%, 5.9%, 12.6%, and 12.6%, respectively, in patients with GOV1, 0%, 19.2%, 26.0%, and 26.0%, respectively, in patients with GOV1, GOV2, and IGV1, respectively, and 5.3%, 10.5%, 18.0%, and 18.0%, respectively, in patients with IGV1 (p=0.632). The cumulative incidences of GV rebleeding at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months were 0%, 4.8%, 15.3%, and 15.3%, respectively, in patients with GVs fed via RFV and 3.1%, 16.9%, 21.1%, and 21.1%, respectively, in

---

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of All Included Patients Who Were Treated with Endoscopic Variceal Obturation for Gastric Variceal Bleeding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>All patients (n=53)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>60.6±11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>40 (75.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying liver disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B virus</td>
<td>9 (17.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C virus</td>
<td>4 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic liver disease</td>
<td>24 (45.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16 (30.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child- Pugh score</td>
<td>7.6±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child- Pugh grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>14 (26.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>31 (58.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>8 (15.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>15 (28.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of follow-up, mo</td>
<td>8.9±4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SD or number (%).
patients with GVs fed via LFV (p=0.500). GV rebleeding rates did not differ significantly according to the Child-Pugh class: the cumulative incidences of GV rebleeding at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months were 0%, 0%, 15.4%, and 15.4%, respectively, in patients with Child-Pugh class A, 0%, 10.5%, 14.8%, and 14.8%, respectively, in those with Child-Pugh class B, and 12.5%, 37.5%, 37.5%, and 37.5%, respectively, in those with Child-Pugh class C (p=0.106).

GV rebleeding rates did not differ significantly according to the complete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels: the cumulative incidences of GV rebleeding at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months were 7.7%, 24.5%, 24.5%, and 24.5%, respectively, in patients with incomplete cyanoacrylate impaction in GV and 0%, 7.8%, 16.6%, and 16.6%, respectively, in patients with complete cyanoacrylate impaction in GV (p=0.300) (Fig. 4A).

GV rebleeding rate differed significantly according to the complete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels: the cumulative incidences of GV rebleeding at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months were 3.4%, 22.3%, 35.2%, and 35.2%, respectively, in patients with incomplete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels and there was no rebleeding during the follow-up period in patients with complete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels and there was no rebleeding during the follow-up period.
The cumulative incidences of GV rebleeding at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months were 0%, 15.3%, 32.3%, and 32.3%, respectively, in CTrG3 and 12.5%, 41.7%, 41.7%, and 41.7%, respectively, in CTrG4; there was no rebleeding during the follow-up period in patients with complete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels (p=0.011) (Fig. 4C). These patterns still remained in the subgroup analyses according to the Child-Pugh grade (p=0.001) (Supplementary Fig. 1) and the type of GVs (Supplementary Fig. 2).

5. Mortality

During follow-up, six patients died with cumulative mortality rates of 1.9%, 7.9%, 10.1%, and 13.1% at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months, respectively. Mortality rate did not differ according to the types of GVs, feeding vessels and CTrG (Supplementary Fig. 3), while it differed significantly according to the Child-Pugh class: the cumulative mortality rates at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months were 0%, 0%, 0%, and 11.1%, respectively, in patients with Child-Pugh class A, 0%, 7.2%, 7.2%, and 7.2%, respectively, in those with Child-Pugh class B, and 12.5%, 27.1%, 41.7%, and 41.7%, respectively, in those with Child-Pugh class C (p=0.020).

DISCUSSION

There have been various methods to evaluate the presence of GVs and the response of variceal treatment such as endoscopy, angiographic portography, and CT. Angiographic portography provides detailed hemodynamics of GVs, but it is an invasive procedure. In addition, because endoscopic findings are restricted to the mucosal layer, accurate evaluation of the state of submucosal vessels is not possible. CT scan permits comprehensive evaluation of varices and relevant vasculatures without invasive approaches. The greatest strength of this study is that, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that evaluated the treatment response of EVO with CT imaging. In favor of our hypothesis, the assessment of the effect of EVO by CT was helpful in the prediction of rebleeding after EVO.

In this study, we terminated EVO sessions when no remnant varices were left in endoscopic palpation. However, complete impaction of cyanoacrylate in the GVs and feeding vessels were achieved in only 45.3% and 75.5% of patients, respectively. As our results show, cyanoacrylate injection might not be sufficient in treating and feeding vessels though endoscopic palpation suggests complete impaction of GVs.

In our study, the only risk factor associated with the rebleeding was the presence of complete cyanoacrylate impaction in the feeding vessels. GVs develop as a portosystemic venous shunt based on anastomosis between inflowing and outflowing vessels. It has been generally believed that the complete obliteration of the GVs can prevent rebleeding, and it can be assessed by palpation of varices after cyanoacrylate injection. However, as GVs are formed from its inflowing routes, complete obliteration of feeding vessels by impaction of cyanoacrylate are needed to reduce rebleeding rate after EVO. Supporting this theory, this study shows that GV rebleeding rate differs significantly according to the presence of complete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels. Moreover, there was no rebleeding during the follow-up period in patients with complete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels.

To analyze the characteristics of GVs, we classified GV into two subgroups according to its major feeding vessel, RFV and LFV. One study suggested the classification of GVs into three subtypes in relation to the collateral veins: RFV predominant, LFV predominant, and the equal type, in which the RFV and LFV contributed about equally to the formation of varices. In that study, the types of feeding vessel were RFV, LFV, and equal type in 36.4%, 21.2%, and 42.2% patients, respectively, and this result is quite similar to that of our study. In our study, the success rate of complete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels was significantly lower in patients with GVs fed via RFV than in those with GVs fed via LFV (28.6% vs 56.2%, p=0.048), and it might because the diameter of RFV is larger than LFV. LFV commences from smaller tributaries than RFV.

The type of GV was not associated with the rebleeding rate after EVO in this study and this result corresponds with several previous studies. However, we only enrolled small number of patients who performed CT for various purposes. Other studies also have limitations including small number of patients, evaluation of varices only by endoscopic findings, and lack of specific GV types (IGV1 or G0V1). Therefore, prospective, larger scale studies are needed for further verification. Also, presence HCC was not an independent risk factor for rebleeding in our study. A number of studies suggested that accompanying HCC was significantly associated with rebleeding, while the presence of malignancy was not related with rebleeding in another study. Meanwhile, a previous study suggested that presence of main portal vein thrombosis was one of the independent factors for rebleeding. Therefore, we excluded patients with HCC accompanied by portal vein thrombosis, and correlation between HCC and rebleeding was not apparent in this study. Likewise, presence of main portal vein thrombosis rather than HCC would affect rebleeding of GVs.

Our results showed a rebleeding rate of 18.9% within 1 year. With the improvement of treatment technique and use of vasoactive drugs, rebleeding rate of GVs has decreased. However, it is somewhat higher than that of our previous study. It may be caused by selection bias, because when the treatment was considered to be successful, clinicians tended not to perform CT scans after EVO.

There are several limitations in this study. First, given its retrospective design, this study is subject to selection bias. Second, the number of enrolled patients was small. Another potential limitation was disparity between operator’s skill. Because the
skill of operator affect the outcome of EVO, these result are hard to be generalized in all clinical settings. Finally, CT itself has potential risks, such as the radiation hazards or contrast-induced nephropathy, and these risks should be considered.

In conclusion, abdominal CT is useful in the evaluation of treatment response after EVO. Because incomplete cyanoacrylate impaction in feeding vessels is a risk factor of GV rebleeding, detailed evaluation of feeding vessels on CT after EVO and determination of additional treatment is needed.
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