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Abstract

Two phase sampling design is useful to increase estimation efficiency using deep stratification, improved non-response adjustment and reduced coverage bias. The same sampling units are commonly used for the first and the second phases in complex two-phase sampling design. In this paper we consider a sampling scheme where the first phase sampling units are clusters and the second phase sampling units are list samples. Using selected clusters in first phase requires that we list up elements in the selected clusters from the first phase and then use the list as a secondary sampling frame for the second phase sampling design. Then we select second phase samples from the listed sampling frame. We suggest an estimator based on the complex two-phase sampling design with different sampling units of each phase. Also the estimated variances of the estimator obtained by using classic and replication variance methods are considered and compared using simulation studies. For real data analysis, 2010 Korea Farm Household Economy Survey (KFHES) and 2011 Korea Agriculture Survey (KAS) are used.
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1. Introduction

Two phase sampling design, also known as double sampling design, can be a cost effective method for large scale survey. For a study variable with high variability in the population, it may be expensive to obtain a reasonable parameter estimate because of the need of a large sample size. The success of two phase sampling is because it may be inexpensive to collect data on auxiliary variables well correlated with the study variable in a large first phase sample. Frequently the auxiliary variable is used for stratification.

Many studies have been devoted to the two phase sampling design. Fuller (2000) investigated the regression estimation for two phase sampling design. Hidiroglou (2001) studied the regression estimation for nest and non-nested two phase sampling design; in addition the problem of variance estimation for two phase sampling design has generated considerable interest. Kott and Stukel (1997) compared performance of the re-weight expansion estimator (REE) and double expansion estimator (DEE) using jackknife variance estimation. After that many researches such as Kim and Sitter (2003), Kim et al. (2006), Robotham et al. (2008) studied replication variance estimation for two-phase sampling. Also Kim and Yu (2011) suggested the bias correction method for the jackknife variance estimation.
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estimation under two-phase sampling. Most of studies considered the same sampling units for the first and the second phases; however, Singh (2008) studied different sampling units for each phase. For the first phase he used clusters as samples and for the second phase, elements are sampled. He used an underlying assumption of conditional unbiased (CU) and conditional independent (CI).

In this paper we consider a two phase sampling design with cluster level sampling at the first phase followed by element level sampling at the second phase. An unbiased estimator is suggested and the estimated variances of the estimator obtained by using classic and replication variance methods are considered for this double sampling design.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we explain the two phase sampling setup used in this study and suggest an estimator of population mean. We also investigate the estimated variances of the suggested estimator. In Section 3, we perform limited simulation studies. In Section 4, the real data analysis is conducted using Korea Farm Household Economy Survey (KFHES). Here Korea Census of Agriculture (KCA) is used as the first phase sampling frame and Korea Agriculture Survey (KAS) is used as the second phase sampling frame. In Section 5, concluding remarks are made.

2. Proposed Method

2.1. Basic setup

In this section, we consider a two phase design with cluster level sampling at the first phase followed by element level sampling at the second phase. Here we adopt the notations and set up used by Singh (2008). Let \( U_1 \) denote the first phase finite population of clusters with total number of clusters \( N_1 \) and \( s_1 \) denote the first phase sample of size \( n_1 \), and \( \{\pi_{1(i)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq N_1} \) denote the sample inclusion probability at the first phase. Here we use SRS method for the first phase. The sample \( s_1 \) with (random) total number of elementary units \( N_2 \) is stratified into \( L \) strata at phase two based on the auxiliary variable information from \( s_1 \) where it is assumed that the stratum definition does not depend on \( s_1 \). Here \( N_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{L} N_{2(i)} \) and \( N_{2(i)} \) is the size of element in the \( i^{th} \) cluster selected. Now conditional on \( s_1 \), from each stratum \( h \), \( 1 \leq h \leq L \), a second phase sample \( s_2(h) \) of size \( n_2(h) \) is selected by simple random sample with sample inclusion probabilities \( \{\pi_{2(h)}\}_{1 \leq h \leq L} \). Thus the total sample size of the resulting two phase sample is \( n_2 = \sum_h n_2(h) \). The parameter of interest is the population mean \( \hat{Y}_M \) is defined as \( M^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} T_{i(h)} \) where \( T_{i(h)} = \sum_{y_{i(k)}} y_{i(k)}(h) \) which also equals \( \sum_{h=1}^{L} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{2(h)}} y_{i(k)}(h) \). Let \( y_{i(k)}(h) \) being the observation on the \( k^{th} \) unit in the \( h^{th} \) stratum subgroup of the \( i^{th} \) cluster and \( M \) is the total number of population elements. Here \( N_{2(h)} \) is the size of element in the \( h^{th} \) stratum of the \( i^{th} \) cluster. We now use index \( h \) for stratum, \( i \) for cluster and \( k \) for element. Then the standard unbiased estimator is given by

\[
\hat{Y}_M = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{h} \sum_{k \in s_2(h)} \frac{y_{i(k)}}{\pi_{1(h)} \pi_{2(h)}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{h} \bar{y}_{i(h)},
\]

which can alternatively be expressed in terms of estimated cluster totals as in two stage design by

\[
\hat{Y}_M = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i \in s_1} \bar{y}_{i(0)},
\]

where \( \bar{y}_{i(0)} = \sum_{y_{i(k)}} y_{i(k)}(0) \pi_{2(h)}^{-1} \). Note that the second phase sample size \( n_{2(i)} \) for the \( i^{th} \) cluster is random. However the total sample size in the second phase \( n_2 = \sum_{i \in s_1} n_{2(i)} \) is fixed under this design. Here for some \( i \), we have \( n_{2(i)} = 0 \).
2.2. The proposed estimator and variance estimator

where \( \hat{Y}_M \) under two phase design is given by

\[
V(\hat{Y}_M) = \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ V_1 \left( \sum_{s_1} \frac{T_{yo}}{\pi_{t(s)}} \right) + E_1 V_2 \left( \sum_h \hat{i}_{yo(h)} \right) \right].
\]  

(2.3)

where \( V_1 \) is the phase one component and \( V_2 \) is the phase two component of the total variance. Now under the assumption of the independence between strata, (2.3) can be expressed by

\[
V(\hat{Y}_M) = \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ V_1 \left( \sum_{s_1} \frac{T_{yo}}{\pi_{t(s)}} \right) + E_1 \right] \left( \sum_h V_2(\hat{i}_{yo(h)}) \right).
\]

(2.4)

2.2. The proposed estimator and variance estimator

In this section we consider an unbiased estimator of population mean and the variance estimator. We consider two methods (standard variance estimation and replication variance estimation) for the variance estimation, especially the jackknife method.

2.2.1. An unbiased estimator

First let the \( i^{th} \) cluster be selected by simple random sample with probability, \( N_i^{-1}n_i \) for the first phase sampling. So that we have \( \{\pi_{t(s)}\}_{s \leq S_N} = N_i^{-1}n_i \). Also for the second phase sampling, we use \( \{\pi_{2h}k\}_{k \leq K} = N_{2h}^{-1}n_{2h} \) where \( N_{2h} \) and \( n_{2h} \) are the first and second phase numbers of sample sizes in stratum \( h \) respectively. We then have an unbiased estimator by plugging these weights into (2.1).

\[
\hat{Y}_M = \frac{1}{M} \sum_h \sum_{s_1} \frac{T_{yo}}{\pi_{t(s)}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_h \sum_{s_2} \frac{y_{hk}}{\pi_{1h}k_{2h}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_h \sum_{s_2} \frac{N_{2h}}{n_{2h}} \sum_{s_{2h}} y_{hk}.
\]

(2.5)

Also from (2.2) and \( \pi_{2h} = N_{2h}^{-1}n_{2h} \), we have

\[
\hat{i}_{yo(h)} = \sum_h \frac{n_{2h}}{N_{2h}} \sum_{s_{2h}} y_{hk(i)}
\]

(2.6)

and so we have

\[
\hat{Y}_M = \frac{1}{M} \sum s_1 \hat{i}_{yo(s)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum s_1 \frac{N_i}{n_i} \sum_h \frac{N_{2h}}{n_{2h}} \sum_{s_{2h}} y_{hk(i)}.
\]

(2.7)

2.2.2. Standard variance estimator for two phase sampling

In (2.4) we have two terms. The first term in (2.4) can be easily calculated using the one-stage cluster sampling variance with \( \pi_{t(s)} = N_i^{-1}n_i \). That is

\[
V_1 \left( \sum_{s_1} \frac{T_{yo}}{\pi_{t(s)}} \right) = \frac{N_i}{n_i} \sum_{s_1} V_1(T_{yo(s)}) = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{s_1} \left( \frac{T_{yo(s)} - \hat{T}_{yo(s)}}{N_i - 1} \right)^2,
\]

(2.8)

where \( \hat{T}_{yo(s)} = N_i^{-1} \sum_{s_{1h}} T_{yo(s)} \) and \( f_1 = N_i^{-1}n_i \).
For the second term in (2.4), we re-arrange the summations in (2.6). Then we have

\[ \hat{t}_{y(0b)} = \frac{N_1 N_{2b}}{n_1 n_{2b}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_k} y_{bk}. \]  

(2.9)

Therefore we have

\[ V_2(\hat{t}_{y(0b)}) = \frac{N_1^2 N_{2b}^2 S_{1h}^2}{n_1^2 n_{2b}^2} (1 - f_{2b}), \]  

(2.10)

where \( S_{1h}^2 = (N_{2b} - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2b}} (y_{ih} - \bar{y}_{1h})^2 \), \( \bar{y}_{1h} = N_{2b}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2b}} y_{ih} \) and \( f_{2b} = N_{2b}^{-1} n_{2b} \). Since \( N_{2b} \) and \( n_{2b} \) are random, we can assume that \( N_{2b} = N_{2b}^c + Op(1) \) and \( n_{2b} = n_{2b}^c + Op(1) \) where \( N_{2b}^c = E(N_{2b}) \) and \( n_{2b}^c = E(n_{2b}) \). Then we have

\[ \frac{N_1^2 S_{1h}^2}{n_1^2 n_{2b}^2} \frac{1}{1 - f_{2b}} = \frac{N_1^2 S_{1h}^2}{n_1^2 n_{2b}^2} (1 - f_{2b}) + Op\left(\frac{N_{2b}^c}{n_{2b}}\right). \]  

(2.11)

Now by taking expectation, we have

\[ E_1 \left[ \frac{N_1^2 S_{1h}^2}{n_1^2 n_{2b}^2} \frac{1}{1 - f_{2b}} \right] = E_1 \left[ \frac{N_1^2 S_{1h}^2}{n_1^2 n_{2b}^2} (1 - f_{2b}) \right] + O\left(\frac{N_{2b}^c}{n_{2b}}\right), \]

where \( S_{1h}^2 = (M_h - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{M_h} (y_{ih} - \bar{y}_{h})^2 \), \( \bar{y}_{h} = M_h^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{M_h} y_{ih} \) and \( M_h \) is the total number of elements in the \( h^{th} \) stratum.

Therefore we have

\[ E_1 \left( V_2 (\hat{t}_{y(0b)}) \right) = \frac{N_1^2}{n_1} \frac{N_{2b}^c}{n_{2b}} \frac{S_{1h}^2}{n_{2b}^2} (1 - f_{2b}) + O\left(\frac{N_{2b}^c}{n_{2b}}\right). \]  

(2.12)

Finally combining (2.8) and (2.12) we have

\[ V(\hat{Y}_M) \approx \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ N_1 \left( \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left( T_{y(i)} - \bar{T}_{y(i)} \right)^2 \right) \right] + \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ \sum_{b=1}^{L} \frac{N_1^2}{n_1} N_{2b}^c \frac{S_{1h}^2}{n_{2b}^2} (1 - f_{2b}) \right], \]  

(2.13)

by ignoring \( O(\left( M^2 \right)^{-1} N_{2b}^c \) term. Also an estimator \( \hat{V}(\hat{Y}_M) \) of \( V(\hat{Y}_M) \) can be obtained

\[ \hat{V}(\hat{Y}_M) = \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ N_1 \left( \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left( \hat{t}_{y(i)} - \bar{t}_{y(i)} \right)^2 \right) \right] + \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ \sum_{b=1}^{L} \frac{N_1^2}{n_1^2} N_{2b}^c \frac{S_{1h}^2}{n_{2b}^2} (1 - f_{2b}) \right], \]  

(2.14)

where \( \hat{t}_{y(i)} = n_{1}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} t_{y(i)} \) and \( S_{1h}^2 = (n_{2b} - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2b}} (y_{ih} - \bar{y}_{2h})^2 \).

2.2.3. Replication variance estimation for two phase sampling

In this section, we consider the standard replication variance estimation for REE because (2.14) is not an unbiased variance estimator. This method is studied by Kott and Stukel (1997), Kim et al. (2006)
Table 1: Mean and variance used for population generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>ST1 M</th>
<th>ST3 M</th>
<th>ST5 M</th>
<th>ST7 M</th>
<th>ST9 M</th>
<th>ST2 S</th>
<th>ST4 S</th>
<th>ST6 S</th>
<th>ST8 S</th>
<th>ST10 S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A, C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B, D</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and Kim and Yu (2011). Let jackknife sample weights be

\[ w_{1} = (n_1 - 1)/N_1, \]

\[ w_{j}^{(h)} = w_{1} w_{2h}^{(j)}, \]  

(2.15)

where

\[ w_{2h}^{(j)} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{N_{2h} - N_{2h(j)}}{n_{2h} - n_{2h(j)}}, & \text{if } j \in s_1 \text{ and } j \in s_2, \\
\frac{N_{2h} - N_{2h(j)}}{n_{2h}}, & \text{if } j \not\in s_1 \text{ and } j \not\in s_2.
\end{cases} \]

and

\[ j_{2h}^{(j)} = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{k=1}^{n_{2h}} y_{hk}, & \text{if } j \in s_2, \\
\sum_{k=1}^{n_{2h}} y_{hk}, & \text{if } j \not\in s_2.
\end{cases} \]

Let the jackknife replicate be \( \bar{Y}_M^{(j)} = M^{-1} \sum_{h} j_{y(h)}^{(j)}, \) Then the full jackknife variance estimator is defined by

\[ JV = \frac{n_1 - 1}{n_1} (1 - f_1) \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \left( \bar{Y}_M^{(j)} - \bar{Y}_M \right)^2, \]  

(2.16)

where \( f_1 = N_1^{-1} n_1. \)

3. Simulation Study

Small simulation studies are conducted for the comparison of the variance estimates calculated by (2.14) and the jackknife variance estimates (2.16). For the population, we generate the population data as follows. First we have four populations: population A and C have 5 strata and population B and D have 10 strata. Each stratum has different mean \( \mu_j \) and variance \( \sigma_j^2 \). Using the given mean \( \mu_j \) and variance \( \sigma_j^2 \), we generated random numbers from \( N(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2) \). Table 1 shows the \( \mu_j \) and \( \sigma_j^2 \) for populations. In this table M means the average and S stands for standard deviation.

For population A, we have 5 strata and the cluster sizes are exactly 30 and about 30±5. For population B, we have 10 strata and the cluster sizes are exactly 30 and about 30±5. Also for population C, we have 5 strata and the cluster sizes are exactly 60 and about 60±5. For population D, we have 10 strata and the cluster sizes are exactly 60 and about 60±5.

For each population, we randomly select \( n_1 \) clusters for first phase samples and make a sampling frame for the second phase sampling. Based on this sampling frame, we select \( n_2 \) samples proportional to the each stratum size. Then finally we calculated (2.14) and (2.16).

For the comparison statistics, we consider mean squared error (MSE), absolute relative error (ARE) and bias for the check of precision of the suggested estimator of (2.7). Also MVE, the mean of variance estimates from (2.14), and the MJVE, the mean of jackknife variance estimates are calculated and the MDP-VE, the mean difference percentage of two variance estimates are obtained for
Table 2: Results of comparison for population A with cluster size 30 with 5 strata

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster size</th>
<th>( n_1 )</th>
<th>( n_2 )</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>ARE</th>
<th>MVE</th>
<th>MJVE</th>
<th>MDP-VE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.8868</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.9068</td>
<td>0.8846</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.8233</td>
<td>0.0298</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.8704</td>
<td>0.8562</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.7922</td>
<td>0.0243</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.8431</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.5991</td>
<td>−0.0051</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.6124</td>
<td>0.5818</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.5401</td>
<td>−0.0111</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.5758</td>
<td>0.5562</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>0.5209</td>
<td>−0.0115</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.5481</td>
<td>0.5355</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>0.5017</td>
<td>−0.0117</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.5381</td>
<td>0.5254</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30±5</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.9388</td>
<td>−0.0707</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.7404</td>
<td>0.9203</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.9280</td>
<td>−0.0619</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.9072</td>
<td>0.8910</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.9095</td>
<td>−0.0444</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.8754</td>
<td>0.8679</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>0.5935</td>
<td>−0.0027</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.5856</td>
<td>0.5638</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>0.5852</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.5580</td>
<td>0.5454</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSE = mean squared error; ARE = absolute relative error; MVE = mean of variance estimate; MJVE = mean of jackknife variance estimate; MDP-VE = mean difference percentage of two variance estimate.

As you can see the results from the Tables 2–5, MSE, MVE, the average of estimated variance and MJVE, the average of jackknife variance, are almost the same. Therefore we can use the variance estimator developed in this study or the jackknife variance estimator even though the developed variance estimator is not unbiased. We can also confirm that the estimator developed in this paper is an unbiased estimator.

4. Real Data Analysis

4.1. Data description

For real data analysis, Korea Census of Agriculture (KCA) and Korea Agriculture Survey (KAS) are used for the first and second phase sampling frame respectively. KCA is the census and is performed in every 5 years and mostly used as sampling frame for other sample survey. From KCA, each enumerated district (ED) which includes about 30 farm households, is the sampling unit for the first phase
Table 3: Results of comparison for population B with cluster size 30 with 10 strata

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster size</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>ARE</th>
<th>MVE</th>
<th>MJVE</th>
<th>MDP-VE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.8832</td>
<td>−0.0551</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.9488</td>
<td>0.9321</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.9171</td>
<td>−0.0309</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.9152</td>
<td>0.9032</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.8717</td>
<td>−0.0341</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.8901</td>
<td>0.8829</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30±5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.5808</td>
<td>−0.0290</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.6311</td>
<td>0.6078</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.5850</td>
<td>−0.0336</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.5975</td>
<td>0.5794</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.5934</td>
<td>−0.0344</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.5723</td>
<td>0.5610</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSE = mean squared error; ARE = absolute relative error; MVE = mean of variance estimate; MJVE = mean of jackknife variance estimate; MDP-VE = mean difference percentage of two variance estimate.

Table 4: Results of comparison for population C with cluster size 60 with 5 strata

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster size</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>ARE</th>
<th>MVE</th>
<th>MJVE</th>
<th>MDP-VE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.1658</td>
<td>0.0248</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>1.1168</td>
<td>1.0797</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1.1781</td>
<td>−0.0087</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.0801</td>
<td>1.0593</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.1194</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.0524</td>
<td>1.0401</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60±5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.6860</td>
<td>−0.1005</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.7403</td>
<td>0.6629</td>
<td>6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.6725</td>
<td>−0.0123</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.6734</td>
<td>0.6414</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.6458</td>
<td>−0.0067</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.6456</td>
<td>0.6239</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSE = mean squared error; ARE = absolute relative error; MVE = mean of variance estimate; MJVE = mean of jackknife variance estimate; MDP-VE = mean difference percentage of two variance estimate.

Table 5: Results of comparison for population D with cluster size 60 with 10 strata

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster size</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>ARE</th>
<th>MVE</th>
<th>MJVE</th>
<th>MDP-VE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.1034</td>
<td>−0.0333</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.1690</td>
<td>1.1403</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1.0725</td>
<td>−0.0370</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.1353</td>
<td>1.1149</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.0638</td>
<td>−0.0323</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.1101</td>
<td>1.0976</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60±5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.7341</td>
<td>−0.0201</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.7338</td>
<td>0.6911</td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.6748</td>
<td>−0.0195</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.7000</td>
<td>0.6700</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.6469</td>
<td>−0.0067</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.6749</td>
<td>0.6553</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.0861</td>
<td>−0.0019</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.1500</td>
<td>1.1221</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1.0951</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.1711</td>
<td>1.0983</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.0110</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.0922</td>
<td>1.0798</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSE = mean squared error; ARE = absolute relative error; MVE = mean of variance estimate; MJVE = mean of jackknife variance estimate; MDP-VE = mean difference percentage of two variance estimate.

KAS is a yearly sample survey with samples from KCA. With the results of KAS, we have the list of household sampling frame for the second phase. Korea Farm Household Economic Survey (KFHES) with about 2,600 farm households samples from that list sampling frame are conducted.
Table 6: SE and RSE using proposed and jackknife methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Proposed S.E.</th>
<th>Proposed RSE</th>
<th>Jackknife S.E.</th>
<th>Jackknife RSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Farm Household Income</td>
<td>1,119,232</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>949,831</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Agriculture Income</td>
<td>601,736</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>788,195</td>
<td>6.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Gross Farm Income</td>
<td>1,529,599</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>1,853,044</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Assets</td>
<td>12,881,457</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>12,098,540</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stratified cluster sampling is used for the first phase. That means we stratified the population by region and then in each region, cluster samples which are constructed with about 30 farm-households each, are obtained. For the second phase, these farm households from the clustered sampling units are restructured by list sampling frame and stratified by farming types. Then final samples of farm households are selected and surveyed for incomes and assets using a stratified systematic sampling method.

4.2. Estimators for real data analysis

For real data analysis, we use the following estimator suggested in this paper

\[ \hat{Y}_M = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{h=1}^{L} t_{(h)} \equiv \frac{1}{M} \frac{N_1}{n_1} \sum_{h=1}^{L} \frac{N_{2h}}{n_{2h}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{2h}} y_{hk} \]

and the variance estimator \( \hat{V}(\hat{Y}_M) \) calculated by

\[ \hat{V}(\hat{Y}_M) = \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ N_1 \frac{1 - f_1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \left( \bar{y}_{(i)} - \hat{Y}_M \right)^2 + 2 \left( \frac{N_1^2}{n_1^2} \right) \sum_{h=1}^{L} \frac{N_{2h}^2}{n_{2h}^2} (1 - f_{2h}) \right] , \]

where \( M \) is 1,185,550, the total number of households and \( N_1 \) is 72,907, the total number of clusters, \( n_1 = 3,375 \), the number of sample clusters in phase one and \( n_2 = 2,646 \), the number of samples in phase two and all other notations are the same as in (2.14). We finally use the jackknife variance estimator in (2.16) defined by

\[ \hat{J}(\hat{Y}_M) = \frac{n_1}{n_1 - 1} \left( 1 - f_1 \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \left( \hat{Y}_{(j)} - \hat{Y}_M \right)^2 . \]

4.3. The results of real data analysis

For this analysis, we consider 4 study variables: farm household income, agriculture income, gross farm income, assets. Then we calculate proposed variance and jackknife variance. We also calculate the relative standard error (RSE) for each variance estimation defined by the following.

\[ \text{RSE} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{Y}}}{\hat{Y}} . \]

The results of SE and RSE are presented in Table 6.

From Table 6 the proposed and jackknife variances are similar on RSE; in addition, also the values of RSEs are all less than 10%. That means those results are announceable as an official statistics for national values.
5. Conclusion

This study suggests an estimator for complex two-phase sampling scheme that has different sampling units in each phase; subsequently, proposed and jackknife variance estimates of the estimator are suggested and evaluated. As a result, we confirm that the estimator suggested in this study is unbiased and through the real data analysis as well as prove that this estimator can improve the precision of the estimates of KFHES. The two variance estimates are almost the same even though the developed variance estimator is not unbiased; however, we suggest the jackknife variance estimate as an official statistic since the proposed variance estimator is complicated and biased. The jackknife method gives the unbiased estimate and is easy to calculate.
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